orbid gment s that hould based man h had. living posinded ve no many y not osses. t the tends asks ire or ironrucial Law ances. equirchansuch men have ty of dges, nediance. pted the stem, none

the less effective in punishing the guilty, while it will save many a poor wretch from the infliction of a punishment which he had not deserved, as an irresponsible being, any more than a child unborn.

Judge Doe, of New Hampshire, in addressing the jury, State vs. Pike, says:

"The legal profession, in profound ignorance of mental disease, have assailed the superintendents of asylums, who know all that was known on the subject, and to whom the world owes an incalculable debt, as visionary theorists or sentimental philosophers, attempting to overthrow settled principles of law; whereas, in fact, the legal profession were invading the province of medicine, and attempting to install old, exploded medical theories, in the place of facts established in the progress of scientific knowledge. The invading party will escape from a false position, when it withdraws into its own territory, and the admiristration of justice will avoid discredit when the controversy is thus brought to an end."

Judge Wharton, in his work on "Criminal Law," says:

"No juryman, if properly tender of his conscience and of public opinion, will base his verdict upon other evidence than that of those best able, from long training, and close attention, to understand the features of the case. In some cases the difference between a scientific, or technical opinion, and that of a layman, is not so much in the results attained, as in the guarantee afforded by the superior attainments and more minute expertness of a man of science. The declaration of such a man is insured against the possibility of error to the full extent of the protection of science in its present state of development. *Pro foro*, this degree of certainty is sufficient, because it is the highest attainable; but, the same can not be said of any other."

I make these few general observations to show that our position in court would be much improved did caution, consistency, discretion, good judgment and candor prevail to a greater extent among ourselves. This would more readily be the case were all medical