

follow the American policy of making such positions possible only to men of large private means. That is notoriously the case with the United States. The nations of Europe, on the contrary, make adequate provision for the maintenance of a decent, dignified, unostentatious setting for their diplomatic representatives.

Honourable gentlemen, I intended before I rose to speak with more fluency. I hope I have not spoken too long. It must have been the statistics of my honourable friend that so disturbed my nervous system.

Hon. GEORGE G. FOSTER: Honourable gentlemen, as one of the two representatives present from my native province, I would suggest to the honourable leader of the Government the advisability of our adjourning the further discussion of these very interesting subjects. There are many members of this House who, I am sure, would regret being unable to hear the addresses of honourable gentlemen who intend to speak, and, as there is but a short time left for the present sitting and no hope of concluding the debate, I move that it be adjourned until Tuesday.

On motion of Hon. G. G. Foster, the debate was adjourned.

The Senate adjourned until Tuesday, February 7, at 3 p.m.

THE SENATE

Tuesday, February 7, 1928.

The Senate met at 3 p.m., the Speaker in the Chair.

Prayers and routine proceedings.

THE PROVINCIAL CONFERENCE

PRECIS OF DISCUSSIONS

Hon. Mr. DANDURAND: Honourable gentlemen, there was no official report made of the Conference which took place between the Dominion and Provincial Governments, but there was a précis of discussions prepared, which was edited by representatives of the respective Governments. I believe that précis was given to the press daily. I now desire to lay on the Table a complete copy.

Hon. W. B. ROSS: Are there copies for distribution?

Hon. Mr. DANDURAND: I do not know.
Hon. Mr. McLENNAN.

THE JUDICIARY IN CANADA INQUIRY

On the notice:

Hon. Mr. McMEANS:

That he will call the attention of the Senate to the Judiciary in Canada, and will enquire of the Government:—

1. The number of Superior Court Judges in each of the different Provinces of Canada.
2. The number of Superior Court Judges, per capita, in each of the different Provinces of Canada.
3. The salaries paid.
4. The number of County Court Judges in each of the different Provinces in Canada.
5. The number of County Court or District Court or other inferior Court Judges whose salaries are paid by the Government of Canada, per capita, in each of the different Provinces of Canada.
6. If it is the intention of the Government to increase the salaries of the Judges or any of them, and if so, by what amounts.
7. If any promise has been made by the members of the Government to increase the salaries of the Judges, or any of them.
8. The number of Appeal Judges in each Province.
9. Number of Appeal Judges per capita in each Province.

Hon. Mr. DANDURAND: I have the information for which my honourable friend asks, but as it is quite lengthy I shall not read it. It will appear in Hansard.

The Hon. the SPEAKER: I understand the honourable gentleman wishes to make some remarks on this inquiry.

Hon. Mr. DANDURAND: I had not noticed the form of the inquiry.

Hon. Mr. McMEANS: I ask several questions. When I have had an opportunity to digest the information I want to make some remarks.

Hon. Mr. DANDURAND: My honourable friend may give another notice later on when he has examined the document.

Replies to questions

1. Including Judges of Appeal, Supreme Courts, Superior Courts and of King's Bench:

Ontario.....	19
Quebec.....	48
Nova Scotia.....	7
New Brunswick.....	7
Manitoba.....	11
British Columbia.....	11
Prince Edward Island.....	3
Saskatchewan.....	12
Alberta.....	11
Yukon.....	1

2. On population as given in Census of 1921:

Ontario.....	1 judge to 154,403 persons
Quebec.....	1 " 49,192 "
Nova Scotia.....	1 " 74,834 "
New Brunswick.....	1 " 55,411 "
Manitoba.....	1 " 55,465 "
British Columbia.....	1 " 47,689 "
Prince Edward Island.....	1 " 29,528 "