

The provisions of the United Kingdom legislation dealing with the registration of patent agents were considered by the House of Lords in *Institute of Patent Agents v Lockwood*—

Mr. Tobin: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. I just wanted to say that I have always wondered, up until now, why he got paid an extra \$15,000 per year.

Ms. Copps: Now I really do not know.

Mr. Lewis: Mr. Speaker, if I am getting paid an extra \$15,000 per year for this, somebody is slipping the other \$6,000 because it is \$9,000. If the Hon. Member can find the other six, I hope he will let me know. My bank manager and my wife both want to know.

Mr. Tobin: Just \$9,000 too much.

Mr. Lewis: I will speak until the arrival of the Gentleman Usher of the Black Rod. It will give me a chance to return to the case in the House of Lords, *Institute of Patent Agents v Lockwood*. Lord Watson, a great guy and a great fellow on the Patent Act whom we all remember, said:

—the main intention of the Legislature appears to have been to protect poor inventors from being robbed by unskilled patent agents who failed to make a specification and claim in such a form as would secure to them the fruits of their invention.

Excuse me, Mr. Speaker, is that someone at the door?

PROCEEDINGS ON ADJOURNMENT MOTION

[English]

SUBJECT MATTER OF QUESTIONS TO BE DEBATED

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Before admitting the messenger, it is my duty, pursuant to Standing Order 46, to inform the House that the questions to be raised tonight at the time of adjournment are as follows: the Hon. Member for Lévis (Mr. Fontaine)—Shipbuilding—Development strategy for shipbuilders—government position; the Hon. Member for Spadina (Mr. Heap)—Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation—Housing project in Sackville, Nova Scotia; the Hon. Member for Broadview-Greenwood (Ms. McDonald)—Cultural Affairs—Inquiry if Government disposed to insist on Canadian control of film industry. (b) Request concerning government funding of Canadian feature films.

THE ROYAL ASSENT

[English]

A message was delivered by the Gentleman Usher of the Black Rod as follows:

Regulations and Other Statutory Instruments

Mr. Speaker, the Honourable Deputy to the Governor General desires the immediate attendance of this honourable House in the chamber of the honourable the Senate.

Accordingly, Mr. Speaker with the House went up to the Senate Chamber.

● (1650)

And being returned:

Mr. Deputy Speaker: I have the honour to inform the House that when the House went up to the Senate Chamber the Deputy Governor General was pleased to give, in Her Majesty's name, the Royal Assent to the following Bills:

Bill C-64, an Act to amend the Seeds Act and the Canada Grain Act—Chapter No. 47.

Bill C-78, an Act to amend the Governor General's Act, the Governor General's Retiring Annuity Act, the Salaries Act and the Judges Act—Chapter No. 48.

Bill S-5, an Act to provide for the creation by amalgamation of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in Canada.

ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

[English]

REGULATIONS AND OTHER STATUTORY INSTRUMENTS

CONCURRENCE IN THIRD REPORT OF STANDING JOINT COMMITTEE

The House resumed consideration of the motion of Mr. Kaplan that the third report of the Standing Joint Committee on Regulations and Other Statutory Instruments be concurred in.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: We will now resume debate with the expert on patent law.

Mr. Lewis: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, At the time we were interrupted with a message from Her Majesty, we were discussing Lord Watson. He was on one of these pages but I do not know where he is gone. Anyway, let us talk about patents in general.

Mr. Deans: You have 30 seconds. Tell us all you know.

Mr. Lewis: I did that, and then I went on.

I have had an opportunity to put a few remarks on the record about this very important committee, the Standing Joint Committee on Regulation and Other Statutory Instruments, which often does work which goes unnoticed by this House, and by the country, and I think we are fortunate that on this particular day, in view of the other things we could be debating, that we have been able to respond so quickly to the concurrence moved by my colleague in this report. The House knows that had we continued on to Orders of the Day, we would—