

and a Canadian fisheries patrol vessel off Canada's east coast, at which time the Canadian government attempted to discuss with the Russians their dangerous fishing practices and were refused access to the command ship?

Mr. Eymard Corbin (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of the Environment): I shall certainly bring this matter to the attention of the minister, Mr. Speaker.

* * *

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

LENGTH OF EASTER RECESS—CORRECTION OF STATEMENT OUTLINING AGENDA FOR NEXT WEEK

Mr. Robert McCleave (Halifax-East Hants): Mr. Speaker, my question is really a point of order directed to the government House leader but I see he has disappeared. First of all, I was going to ask if all of us could be told when we are being let out, let alone when we are returning? I see the minister has now returned to the chamber. Second, is he correctly reported in *Hansard* of yesterday as saying the "piracy amendments to the Criminal Code" or did he really mean the privacy amendments?

Hon. Allan J. MacEachen (President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, I meant "privacy"; I think I did say privacy. On the question of dates, I should like to tell my hon. friend that we propose to put down a motion to adjourn this part of the session on Wednesday next, probably coming back on the Thursday as I have indicated already to the chief whip of the opposition.

* * *

CANADA ELECTIONS ACT

REQUEST FOR CHANGE IN THE WAY DIVORCED MEN AND WOMEN ARE LISTED ON ELECTORAL LISTS

Mrs. Grace MacInnis (Vancouver-Kingsway): Mr. Speaker, I have a question for the Acting Prime Minister. In view of the fact that divorced women or women living apart from their husbands cannot vote in the forthcoming federal election unless they have the preface "Mrs." before their names on the list, will the minister, in the interests of achieving sexual equality, draw to the attention of the minister in charge of the Canada Elections Act the need for a rule change to require divorced men or men living apart from their wives to be listed as "Mr." on the electoral lists?

Hon. Allan J. MacEachen (President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, I shall draw that matter to the attention of the Chief Electoral Officer.

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): What about bachelors?

Mr. Speaker: I believe the hon. member for Joliette had a supplementary to a previous question.

[Translation]

Does the hon. member wish to put a question that is supplementary to the one he asked earlier?

Inquiries of the Ministry

AGRICULTURE

HOGS—PAYMENT OF SUBSIDY TO PRODUCERS OR JOINT PRODUCTION UNITS

Mr. Roch La Salle (Joliette): Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I should like to put a supplementary question to the Minister of Agriculture.

As several hog producers are now concerned about knowing in whose name the subsidy cheques will be made out by the federal government, can the minister tell us whether the cheques will be addressed to the producer or to the "integrator"?

[English]

Hon. H. A. Olson (Minister of Agriculture): Mr. Speaker, the payment will be made to the producer and, of course, the upper limit is 200 hogs per producer. There are some difficulties in various parts of Canada because of the method of selling. We have asked producers, in making their applications, to provide us either with the grading certificates or the best evidence that they have. We will check this against plant records to make sure that all bona fide producers, but only bona fide producers, are paid.

* * *

HEALTH

MEDICARE—POSSIBLE INCLUSION OF PARAMEDICAL SERVICES

Mr. Randolph Harding (Kootenay West): I have a question for the Minister of National Health and Welfare, Mr. Speaker, which is really a supplementary to a previous question. Will the government this session either amend the Medicare Act, if that is necessary, or change the regulations to include cost-sharing of paramedical services with any province which includes or wishes to include one or several of the paramedical services under its medicare plan?

Hon. John C. Munro (Minister of National Health and Welfare): Mr. Speaker, as the hon. member may be aware, we have been negotiating with the provinces with respect to new proposals which would, in effect, replace hospitalization and medicare and provide a great deal more flexibility to the provinces to include paramedical personnel. We combined that proposal with a further proposal to make available to the provinces roughly \$640 million in terms of trust funds so they could get into new areas, one of the principal ones being the inclusion of paramedical personnel. The provinces are now considering these proposals.

MEDICARE AND HOSPITALIZATION—LIMITATION OF FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION UNDER GOVERNMENT PROPOSALS

Mr. T. C. Douglas (Nanaimo-Cowichan-The Islands): I have a supplementary question for the minister, Mr. Speaker. Are these proposals which the federal government is putting forward to the provinces contingent on the provinces accepting a restriction of the federal government's contribution to hospitalization and medicare pro-