

through and through called *Caulatops*. Some incidental remarks may find their place here. The genus *Dacerla*, Sign., is placed in the Division *Myrmecocoraria*, but the author was unable to examine the arolia in the only specimen he has seen. The arolia in *Dacerla* are quite rudimental and fused with the claws, whereas they are large and free in the *Myrmecocoraria*. I think this genus must be placed in the *Cremnocephalaria*. *Horcias affinis*, Reut., is, according to Distant, identical with *limbatellus*, Walk., which has priority. The author states that *Rinacloa citri*, Ashm., is identical with *Halticus Uhleri*, Giard, but he has overlooked that the specific name *citri* has priority by several years.—E. BERGROTH, Fitchburg, Mass.

#### FLORIDIAN HEMIPTERA TAKEN BY MR. E. P. VAN DUZEE.

In his "Observations on Some Hemiptera taken in Florida,"\* Mr. E. P. Van Duzee has once more rendered a service to American Hemipterists especially, and in a less degree to those of other lands. As the title implies, he has not presented a mere dry-as-dust list, but a very interesting and helpful recital of observations in the field, synonymic notes, rectifications of current misapprehensions, together with a study of the Floridian Hemiptera far more thorough than anything that has been heretofore done for this neglected group and for that region. He enumerates 168 Heteroptera, among them eight new species, and 186 Homoptera, 21 of them new, with two new genera. Mistakes are few, and I have noted only two worthy of being pointed out. *Benacus* and *Amorgius*, by some inadvertence, have been placed in the family "*Nepidae*" (of which, by-the-by, none was taken). The other is mainly a matter of critical interpretation. *Cymus breviceps*, Stal, is listed on p. 166. Mr. Van Duzee, with great kindness, presented me with specimens of this catch. To my eye the insect is not a *Cymus* at all, but belongs in the closely-related genus *Cymodema*, and is possibly *Cymodema exiguum*, Horváth, but, from a cursory examination, I should not be surprised if it turned out to be undescribed. Look again, please, Mr. Van Duzee!

But as a whole, this list merits nothing but praise. It is characterized by this author's well-known desire to be accurate, and is most certainly painstaking and reliable to a high degree. Would that his kind abounded! Then we poor Hemipterists would not be compelled to be forever criticizing, correcting and readjusting.—J. R. DE LA TORRE BUENO, New York.

\*1909 Bull. Buff. Soc. Nat. Sci., IX, pp. 149-230.