accept all of the new genera which Prof. Smith has recently proposed in his revision of the old genus Agrotis. While I am a thorough believer in the value of sexual characters in the classification of the Noctuids, I should hesitate to establish genera upon them without first examining other than our own fauna. In a recent study of the genus Drasteria I found that all of the known species in our fauna had decidedly asymmetrical male genitalia, while the species in the allied genera Euclidia and Caenurgia had not; nor had this peculiarity been noticed in any other Noctuids. Although none but American forms have been placed in Drasteria, I am sure that at least one of the common European Euclidias belongs to it, but I am not yet sure that this European species does not have symmetrical male genitalia.

While it is true that Walker insufficiently characterized his genus Feltia, yet the description of a species—ducens—made it a valid generic title; and as ducens is a synonym of subgothica, Prof. Smith had a right to recognize Feltia. But Mr. Grote now claims (in his List for 1895) that Feltia must fall as a synonym of Hübner's genus Agronoma. Hubner placed four European species in the genus, and Mr. Grote thinks the type species is valligera (vestigialis). Now, if it can be shown that subgothica is congeneric with the European valligera, and both Stephens and Guenée mention a resemblance, then Mr. Grote is right in placing Feltia in the synonymy. Mr. Grote gives Agronoma only subgeneric rank. I prefer to leave the discussion of this point to Messrs. Grote and Smith; it will require a more critical study and comparison of the American and European species than has yet been made before the question can be settled.

In the light of our present knowledge, I prefer to continue to use the name Agrotis subgothica, Haw., which has become so familiar to American entomologists from its frequent use in both our systematic and economic publications.

Agrotis murænula.

In his last revision of the Agrotids (Bull. 44, U. S. Nat. Mus., p. 85) Prof. Smith placed murænula, G. & R., in the synonymy of vetusta, Walk. This called forth the following protest from Mr. Grote under the above heading (Can. Ent., XXVI., 81): "Two species, properly referred by me at the time to Agrotis, were described by Walker under the same specific name vetusta. One of these turns out to be, as I had suggested in my essay, murænula, G. & R., and this latter name, I claim.