

Bay Company's Board would have repudiated the claims of the Fur Traders, after it had by its counsel pleaded such descisions of the supreme Court of the United States, and the authority of such men as Webster and Stanton, as to the possessory rights of the Oregon "*attaching to the Fur Trade, from its very uses and occupations,*" that the repeated decision of the Supreme Court, "*as a settled principle, had been that the right of occupancy is as sacred as a fee simple, and the possessors of hunting grounds are to be protected in their possession, although the fee be vested in the state.*"

Now that the Hudson's Bay Board has got the whole grant for the losses in Oregon into its own hands, it has turned round, and repudiated the strength and virtue of these pleadings, and disowned the Fur Traders who had been driven out from their possession and occupation, refusing them their fair share, viz., four tenths of the compensation. Mr. Huskisson's open promise of the valuable shield of protection of the British Government is taken from them, the recognition of their claims and the fair dealing of the old Hudson's Bay Company and Sir George Simpson are denied to them, and the decisions of the Supreme Courts and the political power of the United States become a vanishing shade, not, however before these decisions and the opinions of American Lawyers of high celebrity to the same effect, had served the turn of the Hudson's Bay Board itself. That having been accomplished, repudiation of the Fur Traders' claims quickly followed.

So march political power, justice, and law in England if we can believe the repudiators, and there is no public writer at present in this land to brighten up