

deepest caverns; but now slain by the pealing thunders of a **FREE PRESS**.

You will judge sir, how much I admire your speech, by how little I esteem my trouble in furnishing from it copious extracts, for the gratification of the public. It is so honorable to you as a man, as a Representative in Parliament, as a pillar of the Constitution, and above all as a Christian, that I delight to comment upon it. You will finally be exalted to the tip-top of Aristocracy, whence from the left hand side of the much-to-be-admired George Markland, you may look down with disdain on the beggarly "*mob*." So rare, and elegant a specimen of the cant of candour; the cant of honesty; the cant of christian charity; and the cant of patriotism, ought to be preserved for the edification of the young fry of Barristers, who may hereafter be ambitious to twinkle, where you have shone with such dazzling brilliancy.

"At the suggestion of a person interested, an alteration took place, a clause was introduced to release the property of the Cashier, Teller and President. The hon. member for York (the worthy Doctor Baldwin) at his (Mr. H's) suggestion inserted the third name. The bill was sent to the Upper House, and most singular to state, the clause which rendered the Bond given by the President and Patrick Smyth valid was struck out by the Honorable Legislative Council."

Here are three sentences no doubt thought by yourself so cuttingly bright, as to pierce their way to the understandings of men through six fathoms of mud, but upon my word, to me, they are utterly incomprehensible. How singular it is to state, that the House struck out the clause, which rendered the President's bond valid, when with the first of the same breath you say, a clause was introduced to *release* the property of the Cashier and Teller, & at *your suggestion* the President's.

Would you sir, have had the Legislative Council, guilty of the wicked abomination of resusitating a Bond which you *yourself* had cancelled some months before? Is it thus you would support the "*principles of civil liberty*" and common honesty? Did you not with your own hand cancel Patrick Smyth's bond on the 16th of September 1822, and would you in March 1823 renyate it for his