

covered conveyance attempted to do so. He commenced to stare in my eyes, and continued to do so for about five minutes. I turned away my head from him. I never on any occasion went driving with Mr. Lewis unless at his request."

We might submit to our readers other portions of the evidence, but enough testimony has been adduced, and we are weary of the case. Nevertheless we cannot conclude without reference to one more particular, viz: the evidence on the side of the Defendant.—He has made a great clamor about this; but after all what was it—what was its value? What hindered him from producing it all, such as it was, before the five commissioners at the preliminary enquiry. He indeed brought forward one witness, his own servant; but what did she prove? Simply that she had a treacherous memory as to certain facts, which several clergymen, then present, could have proved if they had wished. Mr. Lewis dares not contradict this. Again, why was his wife's sister taken to Toronto and after all not examined for the defence? Assuredly because her evidence was not worth a straw, as she would only tell what she knew, and had scruples about telling falsehoods, which appear not to have troubled her reverend brother-in-law!

Let us give a brief history of one of his important witnesses.—Catherine McPhail, once a servant of Mrs. B. was subpoenaed from Glengarry, on behalf of Mr. Lewis. A sum of eighteen dollars was given her for her expenses to Toronto. She came to Prescott, and was examined as to the amount of her evidence by some legal advisers of the Rector. "What do you know against Miss W.?" was the first question. "Nothing at all," was the prompt reply, "Miss W. always behaved herself like a perfect lady, when I saw her." "What do you know of this matter of Mr. Lewis?" "All that I know about Mr. Lewis," replied the girl, "is this: Mrs. B. gave me orders if Mr. Lewis called again, never to let him in."—The lawyers looked blank and opined that this witness would not do to go to Toronto. They told her so accordingly, and demanded back the money which had been given her. "No," said the canny Catherine, "you have subpoenaed me from Glengarry and brought me here to Prescott; I am perfectly willing to go to Toronto, and as it is not my fault that my evidence does not suit you, I will certainly keep the money." The lawyers, in their zeal to economize for their client, coaxed and wheedled, bullied

sensation somewhat akin to it was experienced by Mrs. B.; "I was always afraid of Mr. Lewis" she says, "and invariably sat near the door when he called."

Dr Andrew Smith in his excellent work on "Reptilia" writes as follows: "Whatever may be said in ridicule of fascination, it is nevertheless true that birds, and even quadrupeds, are, under certain circumstances, unable to retire from the presence of certain of their enemies. This I have often exemplified in the case of birds and snakes; and I have heard of instances in which antelopes have been so bewildered by the appearance of crocodiles, and by the grimaces and distortions they practised, as to be unable to fly or even move from the spot. Really, when we read of these snakes and crocodiles, and think of Mr. Lewis, we consider that Miss W. has had a lucky escape!