

Partman has to be read with judgment - esp. for 17th c. His is uncritical, his historical judgments are a little provincial, bourgeois. He judges all things esp. from pt of view of Boston - independent of about 1870.

These farmers of the rev. had much trouble from time to time. had trouble to leave, still with the enterprising Coureurs de bois. men who partly for life of adventure & opportunity of making quick gain, broke away from the Co & authorities & instead of acquiescing in policy of Govt. to bring Indians to bring furs to a fur market esp. at Montreal, went out themselves to hunt & trade. - Their treatment of Indians was often unwise & precipitated conflict. esp. by sale of brandy to Indians. One of Govt. services from Co. rendered to Govt. was its setting its face against sale of liquor. - I sawally

Farmers of Rev. too had occasionally trouble of disposing with furs in Europe esp. towards close of 17th c. when there seemed to be a slow turn to time & glut in the market - causing prices of the very best to fall from 1650 to those of 1700. - the hat of the latter case had only half as much beaver fur. From time to time beavers had to have destroyed by fire beaver skins, following precisely policy of Hunted re. species. - In 1721 the monopoly of the Co was given to the new West India Co. - a part of Govt. world embracing org. of John Law - Mirois's scheme