

readers to take it for granted that the whole subsidy to the Normal School is expended merely in this way. When you understand that considerably over half of the amount paid for salaries each month is paid to teachers employed in the Model Schools, where the education is mostly of an elementary character, and the cost of which should not be charged, except indeed to a small extent, against the Normal School, you will perhaps be prepared to revise your estimate.

Your second mis-statement is that the Corporation of McGill University "solemnly expressed the hope that a part of the grant of \$50,000 voted for education last session might be given to the McGill Normal School." The fact is that at the last meeting of Corporation, when the future status of the Normal School was up for discussion, reference was made to the Premier's suggestion, which was uttered at a recent banquet in his honor in this city, that the Roman Catholic Normal Schools should be increased in number, and the hope was expressed that should a grant be made for this purpose, the claims of the McGill Normal School should not be overlooked, with a view of increasing the efficiency of the school, and, if possible, providing residential accommodation for the women students, who would thus be placed on an equality with the teachers-in-training of the opposite faith. No mention was made of the grant of \$50,000.00 voted at the last session of Parliament, and, needless to say, it was never thought of. The members of the Corporation of McGill University, recognizing that education is one and indivisible, and that whatever aids the elementary aids also the higher, are believe me, (although a few appear to make it seem otherwise), as deeply interested in everything that tends to encourage general and thorough instruction in the foundation work as are those who prate the loudest.

Yours very truly,

J. A. NICHOLSON,
Secretary Corporation of McGill University
and of Normal School Committee.