

The SPEAKER—I am not clear as to the appeal being to the Speaker, or through the Speaker to the Senate.

Hon. Mr. BEIQUE—Bourinot, at page 519, says:

An appeal from the ruling of the Chairman of the Committee of the Whole in the House of Commons is to the House.

At page 516 he states that the rules of the Senate are practically to the same effect as those of the Commons.

The House divided on the question, and sustained the ruling of the Chairman by the following division: Contents 28; non-contents 6.

CONTENTS :

The Honourable Messieurs

Béique,	Jaffray,
Beith,	Kerr,
Bowell,	King,
(Sir Mackenzie),	McHugh,
Campbell,	McKay (Truro),
Cartwright,	McMullen,
(Sir Richard),	McSweeney,
Costigan,	Mitchell,
Cox,	Perley,
David,	Power,
Derbyshire,	Riley,
Domville,	Ross (Middlesex),
Ellis,	Sullivan,
Frost,	Watson,
Gillmor,	Young.—28.

NON-CONTENTS :

The Honourable Messieurs

Baker,	Landry,
Boucherville,	Lougheed,
Ferguson,	Montplaisir.—6.

The committee resumed.

Hon. Mr. DAVID, from the committee, reported that they had made some progress with the Bill, and asked leave to sit again.

The Senate adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m.

THIRD SITTING.

The SPEAKER took the Chair at Eight o'clock.

PROPRIETARY OR PATENT MEDICINES BILL.

THIRD READING.

The House resumed in Committee of the Whole, consideration of Bill (146) 'An Act respecting Proprietary or Patent Medicines.'

(In the Committee.)

Hon. Mr. ROY—I think it is very unfair of my hon. friend (Hon. Mr. Derbyshire) to attempt to kill my amendment. I want to warn the House once more, that if we pass this Bill as it was presented to us, it will kill entirely the effect of the government Bill respecting the use and sale of opium.

Hon. Mr. SCOTT—The object of the amendment which appears in the clause is that any prescription or patent medicine in which opium is an element, it shall appear on the label. That is a very modest request. In view of all the evidence we have of the harm that opium is doing, it will scarcely do for the Senate to say that a person buying a patent medicine or accepting a prescription at the druggists, shall have to take it without knowing whether or not opium forms an element in it. I do not believe that such a proposition would be voted down in any advanced community.

Hon. Mr. KERR—As I understand the matter, if the motion prevailed the clause will stand as it came to us from the House of Commons.

Hon. Mr. DERBYSHIRE—Yes.

Hon. Mr. KERR—And would in that respect be exactly what the hon. Secretary of State has described. It would be a Bill then requiring that if there were any of those ingredients mentioned in the schedule—

Hon. Mr. SCOTT—Opium is specifically defined.

Hon. Mr. KERR—I am stating what the effect of it would be. The effect would be, the clause would require, if any of the poisons mentioned in the schedule are contained in the mixture, that fact must appear on the label.

Hon. Mr. SCOTT—The hon. gentleman is mistating the amendment?

Hon. Mr. KERR—I am not mistating the amendment at all. If the amendment prevails, it will leave the Bill as it came to us from the House of Commons, and would be a Bill requiring that if any of