

plotted out in beautiful maps, and that is to be put on the English market and the markets of the United States and Canada. They call the place Vancouver, a euphonious name, and it is to be the terminus of the Canadian Pacific Railway. That is the Asiatic trade for which they want to extend that road down there. It is to make millions out of that, and as I pointed out the other day, if anything should occur to the Canadian Pacific Railway Company which would compel the Government to take over the line they would draw the line at Port Moody and say, "gentlemen, west of that you have nothing to do; the extension is private property. It is true you gave us the money to extend the road and erect round houses and all that sort of thing, but it is our private property and you have no mortgage on it. We have got the money; you can go that far, but no farther." I consider that it is manifestly unfair if the road is to be extended, and if we grant the means for that extension, that the lien should not cover it. It is certainly the duty of Parliament to see that the Government take a mortgage on that portion of the road and land, and place it in the same position as the rest of the Canadian Pacific Railway line. But I claim that it is the bounden duty of the Government here to at least carry out their contract with the company. The original contract entered into with them included the terminal buildings. I ask this House to endorse the resolution I have moved. It does not interfere with the Government granting \$5,000,000 relief that the company ask for. It merely asks the Government to erect the terminal buildings they promised to construct, and which are provided for in the contract, where the public were led to believe that they would be built—at the place where the people, acting upon the assurance that the terminus would be at Port Moody, went and invested their money. I ask that in all fairness. If the syndicate see fit to extend beyond that with their own means, or if the Government give them the means to do so, I claim it is the duty of the Government to erect the buildings at Port Moody, and keep faith with the people of this country. Yesterday I applauded the Minister of Justice—I could not help but applaud him—in one of his statements in

reply to an amendment moved in the other House by Mr. Blake, to the effect that the syndicate ought to draw on the \$14,000,000 now in the hands of the Government in order to complete the road. What was his reply? That it would be manifestly unfair and absurd (and a great many other hard expressions he made use of) to expect the company to break faith with those who had taken stock in the Canadian Pacific Railway. I could not help but admire the spirit of justice and fair play that he displayed, and his burning desire to protect the honor and faith of his country and keep faith with foreigners. But if such is the case with foreigners, I ask have not the people of Canada as great a right, yea a greater right, to ask the Government to protect them? Why make this discrimination in favor of foreigners? I cannot see where the justice comes in, and I therefore appeal to this House to see that those terminal buildings are erected at Port Moody. If that is done the Canadian Pacific Railway Company or any other company can extend the road to the moon if they like, so far as I am concerned. There are several other points on which I should like to address the House sometime longer, but I know the spirit of the House—

HON. MR. POWER—The House has no spirit.

HON. MR. McINNES—It is not in a mood to listen to a long speech, and I have already occupied the time of the House much longer than I expected I would when I stood up; consequently I will subside for the present.

HON. MR. KAULBACH—As I said on a former occasion, I rise with great hesitancy to speak on a matter in which I am personally interested, but I feel more interested also as a public man in the completion, maintenance, perfection and prosperity of the Canadian Pacific Railway as one of the greatest institutions of this country, in which all Her Majesty's subjects in Canada, and many elsewhere, are interested. I also feel that private interests should give way to the public good, and I am not one of those who feel that we should restrain or hamper the