

INTERNATIONAL LOAN COMPANY

The house in committee on Bill No. S-23, respecting International Loan Company—Mr. Smith (Winnipeg North)—Mr. Rea in the chair.

On clause 1—*Change of name.*

Mr. Benidickson: Would the sponsor indicate whether or not this company is an affiliate of some other well known company in the finance business and is it a company that will operate under the Small Loans Act? I have not a copy of the bill.

Mr. Smith (Winnipeg North): International Loan Company is a company operating primarily in the greater Winnipeg area and in western Canada. It is solely concerned with the first mortgage field. The reason it is changing its name is that in the past it has been confused with companies operating under the Small Loans Act whereas it is engaged exclusively in first mortgage loans.

Clause agreed to.

Preamble agreed to.

Title agreed to.

Bill reported, read the third time and passed.

ADANAC GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY
OF CANADA

The house in committee on Bill No. S-18, to incorporate the Adanac General Insurance Company of Canada—Mr. Halpenny—Mr. Rea in the chair.

Clauses 1 to 8 inclusive agreed to.

On the preamble—

Mr. Regier: Before we finally finish with this bill, may I say that it was considered by the banking and commerce committee. In effect this company will have to do with people who are buying used cars and who have some difficulty in obtaining insurance if they purchase over the week end. I hope this company, which is being granted the right to operate, is not going to insist that people buy their insurance from this company or be denied the right to purchase a car in the normal manner. I hope the officers of the company will exercise discretion in this regard and not take advantage of purchasers.

Preamble agreed to.

Title agreed to.

Bill reported, read the third time and passed.

Private Bills—Divorce

JEAN BRASGOLD MARTZ

Mr. Nicholas Mandziuk (Marquette) for Mr. McCleave) moved the third reading of Bill No. SD-43, for the relief of Jean Brasgold Martz.

Mr. Frank Howard (Skeena): Mr. Chairman—it is so seldom that I see you occupying that particular position, sir, that I referred to you as Mr. Chairman. Mr. Speaker, I am very pleased to see you presiding over the affairs of the house. There is one thing I should like to mention with respect to the bill now before us for third reading. I wish to indicate that later, on the basis of the remarks I will make, I intend to move a certain motion and the remarks I am about to make will lead up to that motion. I should like to refer to the petition for this divorce, which petition is dated December 10, 1958. In clause 6 thereof certain information relating to adultery and the time that adultery is supposed to have taken place is given. That clause reads as follows:

That for the past eight (8) years and—

This is the important part.

—in particular on the 1st day of December, 1958, at the city of Montreal, in the province of Quebec said... has committed adultery with one... who resides at... Montreal, Quebec.

An address is given where adultery is alleged to have taken place. I repeat the words, "in particular on the 1st day of December, 1958". From a perusal and reading of the evidence submitted to the Senate committee, with which we dealt extensively in the committee of the whole in considering this particular bill, I submit that that portion of the petition which says, "in particular on the 1st day of December, 1958", has not been proved because of the time element involved in the evidence given by the investigators themselves. They said that only a few minutes elapsed between the time, as they put it, the lights went out and when the respondent answered the door.

I could quote from the evidence on page 12 with reference to that. Mr. Peter Rosen, an investigator, appeared as a witness for the petitioner, and said this:

Shortly after that—

This is after some preceding occurrences.

—about a quarter to twelve, all the lights were out upstairs. Mr. Golden and myself, we went up to the door and we rang the bell. It took a few minutes and a man—the light came on in the vestibule and a man came to the door and he opened the door

Following this they entered the premises and viewed certain things upstairs, the cushions on the bed and things of that nature. I submit, Mr. Speaker, in view of the evidence given about the time element,