

at the bedside. I know the difficulty in carrying out this recommendation. It means a great increase in the length, and in the expense, of the examination, and this extra expense ought to be borne by the candidate, who nevertheless at this particular period of his career is least able to bear it. I do not see, however, why it is not possible to place the candidate under bond, and require of him payment in instalments over, say, five years.

I could worry my text to much greater length but must by now have stretched your patience to breaking point. If I have criticized the Carnegie Report I ask you not to go away thinking that I depreciate it. On the contrary. No one interested in the future of medical education on the continent but must welcome it and its fearless review of defects, even if it itself is not without defects. A strong progressive school has nothing to fear, everything to gain from it. The loud squealing of the institutions whose nakedness, poverty and false pretences are exposed to the light is only natural. All I have to point out is that the Report does not cover the whole field; that we can go farther than the Report. Sound training in medical science is an essential but you, gentlemen, if you want to develop into the complete physician you must add to his knowledge of, the sympathy for, the love of your fellow-men.