

CANADIAN BEE JOURNAL

PUBLISHED MONTHLY.

NEW SERIES
VOL. VI, No. 8.

BRANTFORD, ONT., FEB., 1899.

WHOLE No.
408

In the last issue of the CANADIAN BEE JOURNAL a notice was given of a Union Bee-keepers' Convention to be held at Brantford beginning at 1.30 p.

m. Thursday, February 9th, closing on Friday, Feb. 10th. From the communications received, it will be made up largely of bee-keepers from Brant, Norfolk, Haldimand, Oxford and Perth. Several others are, however, likely to be present. There will be no business to do aside from electing a chairman and the entire time will be occupied with profitable discussion. Every one coming to the convention will be at liberty to bring forward questions of interest to him. No better opportunity has offered for gaining useful information. It is also the intention to take a photograph in a group of those attending the convention and to make an engraving to reproduce in the CANADIAN BEE JOURNAL with the names of those attending.

The Hotel Belmont will be headquarters. The regular rate is \$1.50; to those attending the convention \$1.00 per day will be the price. The house is very comfortable, being largely patronized by commercial and other men.

Arrangements have been made with the Grand Trunk, Canadian Pacific, Michigan Central, and Toronto, Hamilton, and Buffalo Railways for reduced rates, providing fifty attend the convention. Any one coming must ask for a Railroad Certificate when purchasing the ticket to

Brantford. If 50 come on the railroad the return ticket will be one third single fare, if less, two thirds single fare. There will be a number of ladies at the convention.

All ladies and gentlemen are cordially invited.

* * *

R. L. Taylor is conducting a very interesting Department of Criticism in the Review. He hits right and left and gets

hit in return in a way

The Aroma of that would often shock
Honey. our gentle, peace at any

price (even progress)

loving, Ontario Bee-Keepers. In a recent number he has the following.—

“The editor of Gleanings and Dr. Miller are having a discussion of the question whether comb imparts a flavor to the honey it contains. The editor thinks it does and as a proof cites the fact that so many prefer comb honey, and suspects that those who prefer extracted honey do so for convenience in eating. He says further ‘only yesterday I was eating a nice sample of comb honey and one of extracted, both clover, but it seemed to me the comb had the better flavor.’ [Gleanings, 780, 826.] The doctor thinks comb has practically no flavor to impart, but confesses ‘I always prefer the honey that has run out on the bottom of the plate.’ I like to see brethren agree. Can they not make common ground of the fact that the comb preserves the aroma and the finer flavor of the honey? Honey exposed to the air readily takes up moisture and its aroma is as readily dissipated: hence it is that so