(4) Your committee were of the view that, with the exception of the instruction and reading afforded and required on the subject of contracts, the university curriculum sufficiently covered the Law School subjects of the first year.

(5) The committee of the Senate agreed to make further provision with regard to contracts. Subsequently the annexed letter from Prof. Ashley was received and considered at a meeting of the committee, and the chairman was directed to reply that the arrangements mentioned in the letter were considered satisfactory, but that the matter must be referred to Convocation.

All of which was respectfully submitted.

March 1st, 1892.

CHARLES Moss, Chairman.

To CHARLES Moss, Esq., Chairman of the Legal Education Committee of the Law Society of Upper Canada.

DEAR SIR,—The committee of the Senate of the University of Toronto appointed to confer with the Benchers of the Law Society beg to call the attention of the Legal Education Committee of the Law Society to the circumstance that they have made arrangements whereby a course of lectures on the Law of Contracts (to be undertaken by Mr. Justice Proudfoot) will be added to the curriculum in the Department of Political Science and the Faculty of Law, concluding with an examination on these lectures, together with the text-books of Smith & Anson. They believe that the curriculum thus amended will include all the subjects dealt with in the first year of the law course of the Law Society, together with some others, such as general jurisprudence and Roman law, not yet included in the course at Osgoode Hall.

The committee of the Senate of the University of Toronto would be glad, therefore, to re-Ceive some assurance that when the above-mentioned amendment shall have been introduced into the curriculum graduates of the University of Toronto who have attended the prescribed lectures in law will be admitted to the benefit of Rule 157 among the Rules of the Law Society.

I have the honour to remain obediently yours,

W. J. ASHLEY, Convener.

Ordered for immediate consideration, adoption moved, and consideration ad-Journed to Friday, 27th May inst.

Mr. Moss, from the Committee on Legal Education, reported on the reference as to admission and call of barristers of the Northwest Territories:

The Legal Education Committee beg to report as follows:

Convocation having on the 29th of December, 1891, referred it to the committee to seek legislation with regard to the admission of the members of the Bar of the Northwest Territories to the Bar of Ontario, the committee, with a view of affording information to the Attorney-General, communicated with Mr. Frank Denton, of Messrs. Denton & Dods, in order to ascertain the requirements necessary for call to the Bar of the Northwest Territories, and the chairman received the annexed communications from Messrs. Denton and T. C. West, which the committee submit to Convocation for its direction.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

March 1st, 1892.

CHARLES MOSS, Chairman.

C. Moss, Esq., Q.C., City.

TORONTO, Feb. 25th, 1892.

My DEAR SIR,—I am the person who is applying to be called to the Ontario Bar as a member of the Northwest Bar. I have called several times to see you, but you have been out each time. I enclose drafts of the amendments to the statutes, which Mr. Denton and I think will cover the case as requested by you. As to our examinations, a candidate is examined by one of our judges and an advocate appointed by the judge. There is no list of books set for the examinations: inations, but the judges have always instructed students to get up the same work as that required in one judge in Ontario, and in my examinations I was compelled to pass an examination which one judge and the advocate who examined me said was fully as hard as the examination in Ontario. So far as that is concerned, I would pass the barrister's examination here if you thought it necessary. I hope these amendments will be all that are required.