

Baxter, a gentleman who occupies a prominent place in this family history. We have next the statement of Joseph Emmanuel Lamère, an employé in the Customs. He knew Mme. Lefavre, and remembered her arrival at the hotel at Lachine, kept by his brother-in-law, O'Brien. He was boarding there with his wife. Mme. Lefavre arrived there on Saturday evening with James Baxter, and remained there with him till Monday morning, when they returned together by the train to Montreal, occupying the same seat in the car. Lamère says that Mme. Lefavre told him that Baxter was an American from California, to whom she was showing the sights of Montreal and its environs. On Monday she mentioned to Mr. Lamère that Baxter complained of the hotel bill. Lamère spoke to O'Brien about it, and the hotel-keeper told him that he charged them high purposely, as he did not wish to see them there again, meaning Mme. Lefavre and Baxter.

We have next a trip by Mme. Lefavre to Quebec, in the same month, or in August. When she left her house to go, she was called for by a gentleman in a cab, according to the testimony of Mme. Pelletier, née Justine Gervais, then her servant, but Mme. Pelletier could not say who it was. But another witness, Moncel, says Baxter was on board the same steamer, they were in the hotel at Quebec together, their names followed one another in the hotel register, and Moncel saw them walking arm-in-arm together in the streets of Quebec. They also occupied rooms in the hotel in the same corridor, and these rooms were opposite one another. The trip to Quebec was continued up the Saguenay in the same steamer.

We have next in order the story of John Fullum, hotel-keeper, of Coteau Landing. Mme. Lefavre arrived there one day in October with one Harry Grange, purser on a steamer, and a single man. He remained in company with Mme. Lefavre there an hour or more, and then took his departure. Mme. Lefavre remained there till the following morning. Fullum saw nothing that he could find fault with in the relations of the two visitors, but he did not like the conversation between the two, and he advised Mme. Lefavre that it would be more prudent in her to be with her relatives. In the month of October, Lefavre, the husband,

returned to Montreal, and sent a telegram to Mr. Charlebois inquiring after his wife, but they did not see one another. About the same time, Lefavre addressed a letter to Mr. C. E. Belle, father of his wife, announcing that he returned his daughter to him. This letter bears date 14th October, 1879.

We have next a voyage taken by Mme. Lefavre to Europe in November, 1879, by the steamer Sardinian. James Baxter was on board, and plaintiff avers that he has proved that his wife occupied the same stateroom, Nos. 23, 24, with Baxter under the name of Mr. and Mrs. Boyce. The evidence given by plaintiff is that of Susan Adams, stewardess, and Peter Roberts, stateroom steward. These persons were examined before the trial on the visit of the steamer Sardinian to Montreal in September. They were examined and cross-examined in presence of defendant's counsel, but they claimed that they should be examined at the trial. As it was, Mme. Lefavre was not present at their examination. At the trial accordingly, the plaintiff moved that they be examined *de novo* and confronted with the defendant, and her identity with Mrs. Boyce established more surely. This motion was strenuously opposed by the counsel for Madame Lefavre. The defendant is therefore agreed that the evidence should stand for what it is worth. The stewardess has no distinct recollection of the lady or gentleman called Mr. and Mrs. Boyce, but Peter Roberts was shown a number of photographs, and among them was one of Mme. Lefavre, now in the record. He singled out the photograph of Mme. Lefavre as that of Mrs. Boyce, and he had no doubt of it. He was asked why he was so certain. He gave two reasons: one was that there was in the stateroom another photograph of the same lady in what he called a burlesque—in tights—and he was so amused that he exhibited it to some of his fellow-stewards, had a laugh over it, and returned it to the stateroom. Another reason was that the inmates of the stateroom generally had their meals in the room, and Roberts was in the course of his duty required to carry them their meals several times a day. He could not identify the photograph of Mr. Baxter as the person then known as Mr. Boyce. John A. Robertson was a passenger by the Sardinian, and remembers that