nd prospercompetency, ept away in id entrusted way to desim on the and bright, e passer-by.

s to despair,
of clenched

est"

e was good his church, f the most ongregation e need more

ipital

ne critic or ss? Do the their oblies for the id business d to be just munity? ation in the inds its exworship of There are individuals, leal. Those ousiness life ice in being or for less unhealthy Religion

to secure

and for this

purpose to put our religion into politics. An eminent French writer—Gustave Herve—says Capitalism is the head of the body politic (of which Labour is the hands and feet), and as such is more important, because it plans, organizes and develops.

We hear much about the relation of the pulpit to social problems. But this surely is beginning at the wrong end. When the average man comes to realize his whole duty as a citizen, not simply as a member of the Church, then the social problems will be placed in a fair way of speedy solution.

The Church's influence is becoming a great factor in the labour world, as the ideals set forth by it are permeating the minds both of employer and employee.

The day is not far distant when every great business enterprise will be on a profit-sharing basis, and then the feeling of brotherhood will exist and bring about true harmony.

THE POWER OF THE GOSPEL

W. R. D. maintained that the relation of the Church to Capital and Labour must be mainly a subjective one; that is, she must rely chiefly on evangelism in the belief that, as the power of the gospel grips the heart of capitalist and worker alike, it will eventually so transform their minds as to adjust, if not to obviate, labour problems by making it more easy for both to do the right and more difficult to do the wrong.

It is the tendency of us all to wish that spiritual disease, which is at the root of industrial wrong, may be cured by palliatives and never by the surgeon's knife. It is the duty of the Church to disregard this objection; for the real power which could make

a proud, sensual Felix tremble is the only power to reach the worldly millionaire and the blatant socialist and all the good industrial people between these extremes.

A DIFFICULTY IN "DRAWING THE LINE"

There is difficulty in drawing the line between Capitalist and Labourer, said W. W. F. For instance, a comparatively poor man may save enough to buy a lot and build a house on it. In the building of the house this poor man might be considered a Capitalist. Another man, comparatively rich, may work hard for the community and State, and he must undoubtedly be classed as a Labourer.

The Church ought to have a message to the individual, and in so far as it brought the gospel of Jesus Christ to the man it would influence the mass. History has shown that where the Church endeavored to reach the individual through the mass it lost prestige—lost prestige because it became a political factor.

The Church must stand out against the rich who become more wealthy through the sweat and blood of their fellowmen; and also against Labour, if Labour means organized unions who have shown a disregard for treaties and look on written agreements as "scraps of paper."

EQUALITY—AND "THE BALANCE OF POWER"

A. M. W. traced briefly the long struggle of the Church for the emancipation of mankind through its various vicissitudes to the final abolition of slavery and the recognition of the right of all people to the blessings of free education, with the

Page Twenty-five