

trary to his repeated declarations. Nor is God's justifying the sinner, and the ungodly, any warrant for supposing that he has less antipathy against sin. For who is the sinner, and who is the ungodly person, whom he justifies? What, one that still drinks in iniquity like water? No. He never did, he never can, justify a person of this character: for hatred of such a one is essential to his consummate perfection. The sinner, the ungodly, whom he justifies, is one who has begun to mourn for sin, to be burdened with it, to be painfully conscious of its defiling accursed nature, and earnestly desirous of pardon, and of power to sin no more. Not indeed for works of righteousness, but freely through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus, is such an one acquitted from the curse of the law. Yet, if hatred of sin is wanting in you, you are not the sinner whom God will justify, but the sinner

whom he condemns. The assertions of God to this purpose are clear and positive. The Saviour himself declares, "Not every one that saith unto me—Lord, Lord, shall enter into the kingdom of heaven, but he that doeth the will of my Father which is in heaven," Mat. vii. 21. And his apostle: "Without holiness no man shall see the Lord," Heb. xii. 16. There cannot be stronger proofs brought to enforce the necessity of faith in Christ, than these are to enforce the absolute necessity of personal obedience. Every wilful sinner stands as much excluded by the Scriptures from the favour of God, and the happiness of heaven, as the self-righteous and unbeliever. If, therefore, you wish for your own salvation, you must very carefully avoid each of these rocks, so fatal to souls in this dangerous gulph we are passing through.

THE REV. MR. DARLING AND HIS ACCUSERS.

The following communication from the pen of our esteemed friend, the Rev. W. S. Darling, which we publish with great pleasure, speaks for itself. Mr. Darling has lived too long in Toronto, and is too well known here, to require any defence at our hands. The cowardly assassin in the country, who concocted the shameful falsehoods, and the one interested party who received them from him, and prepared them for publication, are both known, and are welcome to any advantage they may derive from their little vituperation. And all we have to say on the subject is, that we are very glad

the base calumniators do not appreciate the theology of our Magazine:—

(To the Editor of the *Church Herald*.)

SIR,—I must ask the favour of being allowed through the medium of your columns to correct some very gross misstatements of a personal character which have been recently set forth by an anonymous assailant, in (what he supposes) the interests of the Church Association.

I. In a certain letter which I addressed to Chief Justice Draper I asserted that there was nothing in the "character, attainments, or position,"