

behind? There is only one excuse for taking away the timber from a legitimate Limit Holder and that is public necessity, and in that case it should be expropriated and full damage paid to the owner. The existing interpretation of the forest laws is due more to greed and unscrupulousness than anything else and in most cases those who are talking of looking after the interests of the settler are merely covering up their own attempts to despoil others. Mr. Kelly attempts to excuse some of his constituents for holding lots without fulfilling conditions under which they received them claiming that the lots can not be reached for want of roads. Are others to be robbed because Mr. Kelly's friends chose to select their lots in unaccessible places, the very fact that they have located these lots and do not live on them is enough to show that they are not *bona-fide* settlers.