ay, the arm of the function of the second se

he suggestion according to b im, and was n d interpretation Aberdeen en not derive for probable that

e almost certa it is written ously marked the Conina ever it is to teLane's ment berdeen. hn Russell, th cord John Rus onversations conversations conversations

connection The negotiati annediately un the other han ad intentions: Lord Aberd g compact can

rdeen's project nd Mr. Maela it, and (it wo other things):-

has not received lead to a respecadopt the parade r to give the paracomplish it. The sy the Presid at

ntract with L 'most_probab over the diffie ndary, but to

ent with the day statesman, tr

nited States' 360

nty:-" Meantum hington." And solution of the

nents in his de

ther parts of Lord Aberdeen's proposal: for, he says, those other parts "were not prejely ascertained." Mr. MacLane's letter (as far as it relates to the Oregon question) is printed in the Historical Note, and is open to the judgment of the Arbitrator. It, wears to Her Majesty's Government to afford no ground to justify this limited indication of the phrase "most probably." This phrase is in immediate connection, mutatically, and in the arrangement of the matter, with the passage relating to be boundary. The three subjects—(1), boundary: (2), possessory rights of British bjects: (3), navigation of the Columbia,—are discussed throughout the letter on the me footing. The proposal on any one subject is treated in the letter as being quite subuch settled and definitive as the proposal on any other. Moreover, in point of it, he exact proposal was as much ascertained on any one point as on any other, and is must have been so in Mr. MacLane's apprehension, as Lord Aberdeen had shewn in the project of the Treaty. (iii) The boundary, however, it is argneed by Mr. Bancroft, was precisely ascertained,

(iii.) The boundary, however, it is argued by Mr. Bancroft, was precisely ascertained, cause Mr. MacLane states that the line as proposed by Lord Aberdeen had been greated by Mr. Everett, and what the proposal of Mr. Everett was (he says) is known on the citations in the Memorial from his (Mr. Everett's) despatches. The passage in f. Bancroft's Memorial, relating to Mr. Everett's suggestion, is as follows (page 4) :---

-On the 29th of November, 1843, soon after Mr. Everett's full powers had arrived, he and Lord leiben had a very long and important conversation on the Oregon question; and the concessions of ad Merdeen appearing to invite an expression of the extremest modification which the United States it admit to their former proposal, Mr. Everett reports that he said : 'I thought the President might influed so far to depart from the 49th parallel as to leave the whole of Quadra and Vancouver's had to England, whereas that line of latitude would give us the southern extremity of that island, knowsquently the command of the Straits of Fuca on both sides. I then *pointed out on a map the late flips concession*; and Lord Aberdeen said he would take it into consideration. The next day Mr. Everett more formally referred to the solicer in a mote to the British.

The next day Mr. Everett more formally referred to the subject in a note to the British relary:-

We dear Lord Aberdeen, "46, Grossenor Place, 30th November, 1843, "41 appears from Mr. Gallatin's correspondence that . . . Mr. Huskisson had wally objected to the extension of the 49th degree to the Pacific, on the ground that it would tal the southern extremity of Quadra and Vancouver's Island. My suggestion yesterday would inclus objection. . . . A gluace at the map shows its importance as a modification of the 49th $\mathbb{R}^{n} \to \infty$.

"Edward Everett."

On the 2nd of February and on the 1st of April, 1844, Mr. Everett reports that he continuously gebuilt Lord Aberdeen that the only modification, which the United States could, in his opinion, beight to agree to, was that they should waive their claim to the southern extremity of Vancouver's adout that Lord Aberdeen uniformly answered the did not, think there would be much difficulty sating the question.

Daring the following months Mr. Everett and Lord Aberdeen, both wishing sincerely to settle controversy, had further frequent conversations, and, as the result of them all, Mr. Everett ensuthat England would not accept the naked parallel of 49 to the ocean, but would consent to the of the 49th degree, provided it could be so molified as to leave to Great Britain the glata extremity of Vancouver Island. A bradeen's mind the persuasion that the utmost which the as states can concede is the 19th parallel with the modification suggested, taking always care to the data ocutionity for saying that even that modification would be agreed to.

To one fact I particularly invoke the attention of the Imperial Arbitrator; not the least room for is sleft by Mr. Excert with regard to the extent of the molification proposed. *He had pointed lord , therders on the map*, and had so often and so carefully directed his attention to it, that old be no misapprehension on the limit of the proposed concession."

It is difficult to see the force of this reference from the letter of Mr. MaeLane to the $\frac{1}{12}$ s and acts of Mr. Everett. It seems to Her Majes(y's Government to be a process ascritining a thing uncertain in itself by means of something still more uncertain, does not appear that Mr. Everett pointed out on a map, or referred in any manner to, EGaal de Haro; yet this is the whole que tion. The fair inference from Mr. Everett's fements is that he did not speak of the water boundary at all, but only pointed out an appear that Mr. Everett's boundary at all, but only pointed to a map how much of Vancouver's Island would be ent off by the 49th parallel, effective appears to overstrain Mr. Everett's words. Mr. Everett says he "pointed that map the extent of the concession," as regards the southern extremity of Vanter's Island; Mr. Bancroft says (page 7) Mr. Everett "had drawn the line of macation apon the map," which seens to be a very different thing. If this had been for Mr. Everett, and if it also appeared that the line of demarcation drawn by him the map passed down the Canal de Haro, then Mr. Bancroft's inference that Lord form any proposing a line through the Canal de Haro. from the fact the form the fact the form.

Statement.