

should be, on the Protestant Committee, representatives of organizations and institutions. That is not clothed with the wisdom it should have.

THE PRINCIPAL: Dr. Percival, what you have in mind  
DR. PERCIVAL: I think it is open to a variation of opinion.

Then, the next point, as to the people who are to be the representatives. This is open to opinion as to which is better, that is, this recommendation or the McGill recommendation. It would be a brave man who would say that this one is better than that one.

THE PRINCIPAL: There is one question I would like to ask, in connection with what you have previously said. It was not recommended that the Protestant Committee should be forthwith dissolved; there is no definite date at which dissolution will take place, and, from that, you went on to state that the Protestant Committee, as used in the report evidently means the Protestant Committee as presently constituted, and continues to exercise and do certain things.

The question arises, whether the term "Protestant Committee" as used after recommendation 1, does not refer to the Protestant Committee as reconstituted under the recommendation.

DR. PERCIVAL: That was my first ~~recommendation~~ <sup>interpretation.</sup> I took it, when I first read it, that that was what was meant, but when I began to examine it further I began to see that at certain places it may mean that the Protestant Committee should perform certain duties