

Richard McShane, George Purden, Huddell, Wells, Brian Donelly, John Forrester, Dixon, Dennis Tansey, John McDonnell, John Melville, John Slattery, Gentle, James Cahill, Brady, John Hatchett, O'Brien, James O'Brien, Stafford, Francis Dowse, Arthur Rowland, Michael Farmer, Francis Chauncey, John Ryan, Thomas Price, Moses O'Brien, Thomas Wells, Patrick Ryan, Morris Gaheny, John O'Brien, Thomas Carroll, Patrick Doran, Connolly, Marshall, W. Brennan, Francis O'Connor, Loghlan McGoverin, F. X. Theriault, Patrick Meehan, Ashton, J. Bpte. Belanger.

4th. That there is reason to believe that corrupt practices extensively prevailed at the said Election.

5th. That it was further determined that each party should pay their own costs.

6th. We append hereto a copy of the notes of evidence taken at the trial before *Mr. Justice McKay*.

Montreal, 7th November, 1874.

F. G. JOHNSON,
J.

F. W. TORRANCE,
J.
J. U. BEAUDRY,
J.

EAST NORTHUMBERLAND CONTROVERTED ELECTION.

TORONTO, November 7, 1874.

SIR,—I have the honor to report to you that the trial of the Petition in the matter of the Controverted Election for the Electoral Division of East Northumberland, took place before me at Cobourg on Tuesday, October 27th, 1874.

That by my decision James Lyons Biggar, Esquire, the Respondent was not duly elected or returned, and that his Election was void.

That no corrupt practice was proved to have been committed with the knowledge or consent of the Respondent.

That corrupt practices did not extensively prevail at such Election.

That the Respondent shall pay the Petitioners costs, save and except any costs incurrent in obtaining, amending, briefing or placing the particulars on the Record, which are disallowed.

I enclose herewith a copy of my notes of the evidence and finding.

I have the honor to remain, Sir,

Your obedt. servt.

JOHN H. HAGARTY,
Chief Justice H. M. Court of Common Pleas for Ontario.

To the Honorable

The Speaker of the House of Commons.

RICHMOND AND WOLFE CONTROVERTED ELECTION.

IN THE ELECTION COURT.

THE CONTESTED ELECTIONS ACT, 1873.

Dominion of Canada, }
Province of Quebec }
Montreal Division. }

Electoral District of the United Counties of Richmond and Wolfe,

WILLIAM HOSTE WEBB,

Petitioner,

AND

The Honorable HENRY AYLMEY, the Younger,

Respondent,

I, the Judge, assigned to preside at and take the trial of the Election Petition in this case, commenced proceedings as such Judge sitting in open Court, at Richmond in the said District on the fifteenth day of September last, and having proceeded on that and subsequent days, with two adjournments, until the twenty-fourth day of October instant, to hear and take in writing the evidence produced before me by the Petitioner and Respondent respectively, a copy whereof is hereto appended, I did on the day last mentioned at the place aforesaid, after having examined said evidence and proceedings upon said Petition and heard the parties by their Counsel respectively, and deliberated thereon, determine and adjudge that the Respondent whose Election was complained of by said Petition was not duly returned or elected, and that the Election so complained of by Petitioner was void.

And I do now hereby certify to the Honorable, the Speaker of the House of Commons the foregoing determination accompanied by a true copy of the notes of the evidence taken at the trial of said Petition, the whole to avail as of right, and as by Law provided.

Richmond 24th October, 1874.

M. DOHERTY,
J. S. C. & J. E. C.