

knew very well what was involved, and he should not have tried to belittle the enormous effort made by the members of the committee, both by senators on his side of the house and senators on this side as well. Then Senator Flynn went on to belittle the amendments—which he should not have done—by saying, “Now, what is the House of Commons going to do with this bill, because it is imperfect, or, at least, incomplete, because the amendments do not provide, for example, for the royalty fund and other things?” Senator Flynn did not go on to say that he knows very well what the House of Commons ought to do with this bill and the recommendations. They should make amendments that only a minister is competent to make to complete the bill. Everybody in this house understands that, and so does Senator Flynn. Yet, he tried to raise the point that the bill was imperfect or useless because it was not complete. We know that. It seems to me that Senator Flynn should have at least made this point for the record. We know that the committee’s report was sent back to the committee to deal with that particular problem. He was aware of that, and he understands the process.

Honourable senators, I said I wanted to intervene briefly. However, Senator Flynn should not have made a speech that he knew was out of order from the beginning, even though nobody called him to order on it, and he should not have tried to confuse people reading the record by saying things about the process followed here that he knows very well are not the case.

Senator Flynn: Honourable senators, I rise on a question of privilege. Senator Olson has said that I put my motion tongue in cheek. The motion is clear and reasonable, to vote the passage of the bill as it came to us. There is nothing wrong nor funny. There are no motives there. It is clear, and I do not know why he said what he said. Senator Olson suggests that the House of Commons will have to add to the amendments adopted by the Senate. It cannot do that at this stage, and he knows that very well. Or perhaps he does not. I do not think he does. Anyway, it would require a separate bill.

Senator Molgat: Is this a speech?

Senator Olson: Senator Flynn knows the process by which the House of Commons can accommodate the requirements to complete and perfect the bill. I will not explain them to him.

Senator Flynn: You could not.

Senator Olson: He knows them, as do I.

Hon. Efstathios William Barootes: Would the Honourable Senator Bonnell accept a question?

Senator Bonnell: Yes.

An Hon. Senator: Let’s get on with the vote!

Senator Molgat: Honourable senators, this is completely out of order, but if the house agrees, it is fine.

An Hon. Senator: Let’s have the vote now.

Senator Olson: They do not care what is in order. They do what Senator Flynn says.

Senator MacEachen: I will consent, but it is a bad precedent and bad practice.

The Hon. the Speaker pro tempore: It is moved by the Honourable Senator Cogger, seconded by the Honourable Senator David, that the bill, as amended, be read the third time now.

Is it your pleasure honourable senators, to adopt the motion?

Some Hon. Senators: Yes.

Some Hon. Senators: No.

The Hon. the Speaker pro tempore: Will those honourable senators in favour of the motion please say “yea”?

Some Hon. Senators: Yea.

The Hon. the Speaker pro tempore: Will those honourable senators who are opposed to the motion please say “nay”?

Some Hon. Senators: Nay.

The Hon. the Speaker pro tempore: In my opinion the “yeas” have it.

And two honourable senators having risen:

The Hon. the Speaker pro tempore: Please call in the senators.

● (1350)

Bill, as amended, read third time and passed on the following division:

YEAS

THE HONOURABLE SENATORS

Adams	Le Moyne
Argue	Lewis
Barrow	Lucier
Bonnell	MacEachen
Bosa	Marchand
Cools	Marsden
Corbin	McElman
Cottreau	Molgat
Denis	Neiman
Fairbairn	Perrault
Frith	Petten
Grafstein	Pitfield
Haidasz	Robichaud
Hastings	Sparrow
Hébert	Steuart
Hicks	(Prince Albert-Duck Lake)
Kenny	Stewart
Langlois	(Antigonish-Guysborough)
LeBlanc	Thériault
(Beauséjour)	Turner
Leblanc	van Roggen—40.
(Saurel)	
Lefebvre	