

certainly, in this, have shewn our eminent knowledge of boyish privileges; but I beg leave to tell ye, that no *English* boy is so permitted, that there are no such things as *tutors* or *curators* known, as legal definitions, in *England*, and that *guardians* are alone appointed by will, or by a court of chancery. How it may be in the yet half-savage country whence this advocate for boys comes, I will not say; but I will say that his countrymen here, old as they are, who have placed him in his present situation, have shewn themselves almost as green and raw as himself, in thinking such a youngster, both in years and understanding, was fit to be their editor.

I have no doubt but *Albanicus*, or *Marius*, will challenge this witless and frontless tool of the party to name the particulars of what he alleges, as to "names of boys not more than *two* years old," having been scrawled, by their hands being guided, to the anti-union-petition. If he does not name them, he must stand convicted, as the inventor and propagator of wilful falsehood; if he does, it behoves the parties implicated to disprove the fact, or else, that such signatures so shamefully obtained should be instantly erased. The cause wants no such support. But what will the party say to the following fact, which I have had occasion, being resident, as all the world knows, in one of the states of *America*, to become personally acquainted with. An agent of the unionists has been soliciting in several places out of *Canada*, persons to sign their names to a piece of parchment intended to be appended to the union-petition, and form a part of that which is to be sent home to *England* as a petition from the inhabitants of *Canada*. I WAS ASKED MYSELF to do so. Blush if ye can, ye deceivers, and underminers, ye swindlers, and caballers. But the men who had the brazen audacity to tell the Imperial Parliament, so wilful a falsehood, that the union-bill was desired by all the inhabitants of *Canada*; can not be expected to stick at any thing, however immoral or disgraceful it may be.

The learned *British* boy then finds fault with *Albanicus*, for that which I too should be inclined to blame him for, namely, writing "the Hon. John R——n and his party." I have before expressed my antipathy to dashes and blanks. In this instance there could be but one individual alluded to, and I agree with the learned lad, that he is well known, unfortunately for *Canada*, too well known; so we will say no more about that, for the more you stir it, the more—*Edinburgh* streets to wit. But he is, he says, at a loss to conjecture who are "his party." I will tell you *Mr. Chisholm*, who the party of the *Hon. John Richardson* are, they are such men as you, venal, hireling, base, and servile dependents, raw, ignorant, Scotchmen, who, because the man is rich, hold him to be wise, and because he is powerful, hold him to be immaculate, who be-