

Dr. Canniff, (Toronto,) said Dr. Lizars, was a personal friend; but his duty to the Association compelled him to make a statement. Dr. Lizars had frequently told him he did meet Homœopaths in consultation, and justified his action. As to the fact, there could not possibly be any doubt therefore.

Dr. Howard, (Montreal) wished to know if this Dr. Lizars was the same gentleman who connected himself a short time ago with an Eclectic College in Philadelphia.

Dr. Hyde, (Stratford,) remarked that no one present had stated that Dr. Lizars thought Homœopathy right. He had himself met Homœopaths, but only met them to set them aside, and without knowing more he would be very sorry to see the name receive the disapprobation of the members.

Dr. Phillips, stated that it was not right that a man of Dr. Lizars' standing should be convicted on the mere report of another.

The Chairman explained that a Code of Ethics existed which made it improper to consult with any one holding an exclusive dogma.

Dr. Wright (Toronto) held that it had not been asserted that Dr. Clarke or Dr. Lizars held exclusive dogmas.

Dr. Sangster (Toronto) deprecated discussion in reference to individual names, rather let it be allowed to stand and be brought up by definite resolutions.

Dr. Robert Craik, (Montreal) suggested that it would be better if the gentleman whose name was now under discussion, and whom he noticed was in the room, would withdraw. It would certainly be good taste for him to do so, and he felt sure his cause would not suffer by his absence, as he noticed the gentleman had many warm friends in the room.

The President, said the meeting was a public one, and no one could be compelled to withdraw.

Dr. Craik, was aware it would be a voluntary matter for him to do so, but he thought it would show his good taste.

Dr. Lizars arose, and attempted to gain a hearing—but was met with cries of No, No, No.

The President, ruled that Dr. Lizars could not be allowed to speak, not being a member of the Association.

Dr. Richardson, (Toronto) reiterated the statement, that Dr. Lizars did consult with homœopaths and such conduct was injuring the Profession in Toronto. He had held up against it for twelve years, and would continue to do so.

Dr. Aikins, (Toronto) did not wish to raise a new question, further