

The President took the chair, and after thanking the members for the honor conferred upon him, promised to do all in his power to advance the interests of the organization.

The minutes of last meeting were accepted as published in the *JOURNAL*, and reports of Committees being called for, Mr. Saunders read the report of the examinations held in August, and also published, and asked the Council to endorse their action in granting certificates to the successful candidates.

Mr. Bickle moved,

Mr. Holden seconded,

That the action of the Examination Committee, in granting certificates to J. J. Hall, Woodstock; G. Matthews, Paris; and T. A. Howard, B. A., Cobourg, be endorsed and approved by this Council.—Carried.

In moving the next resolution, Mr. Saunders said that he thought some change should be made in the mode of conducting the examinations, as, by the resolution adopted by the last Council, there were no marks authorized for recording the merit of candidates in the subject of the reading of prescriptions, which he thought a very important one. Another change which he thought advisable being the selection of questions in each department by each examiner, instead of dividing the different branches between the examiners. He suggested, for example, that each examiner should prepare beforehand five questions in each subject, making fifteen questions; that these questions should be submitted to the examiners in consultation, and the ten best questions selected, the advantage being that an apprentice or pupil of one examiner could have no chance of knowing the style of question which would be submitted, and there could be no suspicion as to questions being of too technical a character.

Mr. Shuttleworth said that the course advocated by Mr. Saunders was, to his knowledge, without precedent in any examining board; every examiner having one or more branches in his exclusive control was the rule in the University and Medical Schools, and he thought this College too young to start a precedent of the kind, which he did not see to possess any advantages.

Mr. Saunders said that the Philadelphia College of Pharmacy adopted the system he had mentioned.

After other remarks by members,

Mr. Saunders moved,

Mr. Elliot seconded,

That in conducting future examinations the following be the order of proceeding. In each of the four branches specified, each examiner shall take a fair proportion of the questions, and that dispensing be placed on an equal footing with the other branches in regard to marks.—Carried.

Mr. Shuttleworth said that as this was to be the method of ex-