66

"

"

h

n

0

0

n

1

was made in Consequence of a Request? Let me now again ask you, If our Sovereign's Rights and our Privileges were not shamefully invaded? Were not the Lands on the Ohio confess'd to belong to the King of France? were not the French justified in imprisoning our Fellow-subjects and confifcating their Goods, by this tame Behaviour of the B- // M-r. You then add, that I affert that the "M-r's timidly be-" feeching as a Favour what he had a Right " to demand as Justice from the French, has " given that Nation a better Foundation to " the Claim on the Obio." And who is there that does not join in this Affertion? And then you fay, in about fifteen Lines lower, "He afferts again, That the Timidity " of the M-r gave the French no Founda-" tion at all." In this Place you either cannot read, or have exhibted an Example of your Love for Truth scarce to be parallel'd; the Words are absolutely different in themfelves and in their Meaning, as the Passage will prove, which is as follows; "If it be "asked, whence it comes to pass that this "Behaviour of the $B - \int b M$ has "never been mentioned in the French Memorials relative to the Disputes in America; it may be answer'd with Truth, that the " French