an, would ping inter-States of r, the use d the Erie

and deepht to susht to sus-e State of formidable possibly of is at least onvenient, of getting The traus-ed that the q of their es. Their n us. The Caual in operty, as

904, 557

330, 359 come thus ey will be is open to vern." In from their rts, touchness from required that way: ay find it ce Canais twice six we may now levy proposals s or any

-two milse of all of Great

of export.

portations as the obvious necessities of our country require? Our safest policy is to build, not to borrow, nor to pay rentals or tolls to foreigners, subject to be turned adrift at any moment. The revenue that we must surrender in a single year by the admission of Canadian products as proposed free of duties, or the profits we should transfer from our own people to the pockets of our neighbors, would enlarge or build adequate canals, and make us, as to inlaud water communications, independent forever. Ahle as we are to stand alone, let us decline to loan upon weaker neighbors, who lean themselves upon somebody else. We willingly allow them to use our railroads and cars for the transit of their foreign exports and imports to and from New York, Boston, Portland, and other places, and the business is not unprofitable to our thoroughfares. We might refuse this, but have no such intention unless the suspicion proves true that it is the great thoroughfare of illicit trade. We envy the prosperity of no other country, and are content with our own.

LOSS OF REVENUE.

thoroughfare of illicit trade. We envy the prosperity of no other country, and are content with our own.

LOSS OF REVENUE.

If we waive the all-controlling constitutional, as well as other manifold objections, to this embryotic reciprocity treaty, it is of some consequence to consider whether or not we have a surplus revenue of twenty million dollars which we can anunally forego for the next twenty-four years, or whether we can afford to supply its place by an increase of other taxes, direct or indirect, or by a re-enactment of the income tax, or by a renewal of the duties on tea and coffee. It is unlikely that we hanker after either alternative, and either would be a melancholy equivalent for what seems to be a reciprocity with the tracks all pointing one way. Instead of a surplus to be carelessly extinguished, we have in 1874 a deficiency in the sinking fund of \$26,860,217.16, not to be provided for except by a further sweeping reduction of national expenditures. It is altogether improbable that Congress or the people will forget what is due to a solemn pledge of the public faith which requires the absolute annual payment of 1 per cent. of the public debt.

Of course the amount of imports from the Canadas at present being largely subject to duties, affords no basis for an estimate of the amount which would come in if wholly free, and the statement soattered last year broadcast over the country by the British negotiators of the trade between the respective countries was based upon very unreliable public documents. By our account the exports of lard in 1873 were 4,087,280 pounds, but by the Canadian count only 1,287,230 pounds had been received. By our account our exports of tea were 454,579 pounds, but by the Canadian account they had received 5,183,499 pounds. The value of arguments based upon sent data is not great. If the proposed treaty could be regarded in any of its various aspects as beneficial to our whole country, it is too apparentthat now we are not in any condition to abandon annually the millions