

4. What are the rates of the Customs tariff of Canada for duty purposes upon corn imported into Canada by manufacturers of corn meal and other corn products?

5. What are the rates of customs tariff of Canada for duty purposes upon corn imported into Canada by farmers and others feeding live stock, poultry, etc?

6. What quantity of corn was imported into Canada during the calendar year 1936 by manufacturers, farmers and others?

7. Was the duty upon corn remitted in whole or in part during the calendar year 1936?

8. If so, upon what authority was such remittance of duty made?

9. What was the date of such remittance?

10. Was the duty reimposed and upon what date?

11. What quantity of corn was imported during the period of remittance and how much at each port of entry?

12. What quantity of the corn imported during the period of remittance was manufactured into corn meal during said period?

13. What quantity of corn was imported during said period by farmers and other feeders of live stock, poultry, etc?

14. Did the price of corn and corn meal to the consumer remain constant, or fluctuate during the period of remittance of duty as compared with price before remittance and after reimposition of duty?

15. What quantity of corn imported during the period of remittance of duty is still held by importers, manufacturers or others, at this date?

16. Is the duty upon corn dealt with by the so-called "Ottawa Agreements"?

17. If so, what was the Clause—or Clauses—of said Agreements?

18. Has the Government received any complaints, or information, that corn imported during the period of remittance of duty was being held, or hoarded, in order to exact an enhanced price from the consumer?

Pursuant to the Order of the Day, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of the thirteenth Report of the Standing Committee on Divorce, to whom was referred the petition of Clara Emily Taylor Elkin, together with the evidence taken before the said Committee.

The said Report was, on division, adopted.

Pursuant to the Order of the Day, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of the fourteenth Report of the Standing Committee on Divorce, to whom was referred the petition of Yetta Ginsburg, together with the evidence taken before the said Committee.

The said Report was, on division, adopted.

Pursuant to the Order of the Day, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of the fifteenth Report of the Standing Committee on Divorce, to whom was referred the petition of Marguerite Emily Coombe Low, together with the evidence taken before the said Committee.

The said Report was, on division, adopted.

Pursuant to the Order of the Day, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of the sixteenth Report of the Standing Committee on Divorce, to whom was referred the petition of Mary May Rowell Thom, together with the evidence taken before the said Committee.

The said Report was, on division, adopted.