

"(2) La Commission canadienne des transports ne peut délivrer la licence prévue au paragraphe (1) que si le requérant la convainc

a) qu'il est dans l'intérêt public d'utiliser un navire autre qu'un navire canadien compte tenu de l'usage particulier auquel le navire visé par la demande est destiné;

b) que le navire visé par la demande présente les caractéristiques nécessaires à cet usage."

After debate thereon, the question being put on the motions, it was agreed to, on division.

Mr. Forrestall, seconded by Mr. Crouse, moved,—That Bill C-61, An Act to provide a maritime code for Canada, to amend the Canada Shipping Act and other Acts in consequence thereof and to enact other consequential or related provisions, be amended in Clause 8 by deleting line 20 at page 12 and substituting the following therefor:

"Canada: and, for better ensuring the attainment of the said purposes, any port or place in the islands of St. Pierre and Miquelon shall be deemed to be a port or place in Canada, and the Governor in Council may, by order, provide that any other port or place not in Canada shall be deemed to be in Canada."

Mr. Munro (Esquimalt-Saanich), seconded by Mr. Baker (Grenville-Carleton), moved,—That Bill C-61, An Act to provide a maritime code for Canada, to amend the Canada Shipping Act and other Acts in consequence thereof and to enact other consequential or related provisions, be amended in Clause 8 by deleting line 30 at page 12 and substituting the following therefor:

"whether directly or by way of a foreign port or place and when carrying passengers whether or not the passengers leave the ship at a port or place in Canada and if the passengers leave the ship at a port or place in Canada whether they do so definitely or merely for a temporary period;"

And debate arising thereon;

*(Proceedings on Adjournment Motion)*

At 10.00 o'clock p.m., the question "That this House do now adjourn" was deemed to have been proposed pursuant to Standing Order 40(1);

After debate, the question was deemed to have been adopted.

*Changes in Committee Membership*

Notice having been filed with the Clerk of the House pursuant to Standing Order 65(4)(b), membership of Committees was amended as follows:

Messrs. Alexander and MacGuigan for Messrs. Fairweather and Marceau on the Standing Committee on Broadcasting, Films and Assistance to the Arts.

Mr. Fairweather for Mr. Alexander on the Standing Committee on Broadcasting, Films and Assistance to the Arts.

Mr. Caccia for Mrs. Campagnolo on the Standing Committee on Privileges and Elections.

Mr. Marceau for Mr. Roberts on the Standing Committee on Broadcasting, Films and Assistance to the Arts.

Messrs. Stanbury, Philbrook and Maine for Miss Bégin, and Messrs. Bussièrès and Langlois on the Standing Committee on External Affairs and National Defence.

Mr. Guay (St. Boniface) for Mr. McIsaac on the Standing Committee on Management and Members' Services.

*Returns and Reports Deposited with the Clerk of the House*

The following papers having been deposited with the Clerk of the House were laid upon the Table pursuant to Standing Order 41(1), namely:

By Mr. Sharp, a Member of the Queen's Privy Council,—Supplementary Return to an Order of the House, dated February 12, 1976 (*Question No. 3,255*) showing: 1. For each department and agency, what was the total (a) budget allocation for (b) number of persons employed as (i) casual (ii) term casual (iii) other temporary employees for the fiscal year 1975-76, as of October 1, 1975?

2. In each case, how much of the budget, in dollars, had been spent as of October 1, 1975?—Sessional Paper No. 301-2/3,255C.

By Mr. Sharp,—Supplementary Return to an Order of the House, dated February 12, 1976 (*Question No. 3,258*) showing: 1. For each department and agency (a) on what date was the most recent reorganization completed (b) what contracts were awarded in connection with the most recent reorganization (c) what was the total cost of such contracts?

2. In each case (a) what contracts are currently in effect in connection with possible or anticipated reorganization (b) what are the amounts of such contracts?—Sessional Paper No. 301-2/3,258B.

By Mr. Sharp,—Supplementary Return to an Order of the House, dated February 13, 1976 (*Question No. 3,280*) showing: How many members of the present Cabinet have had office renovations and new furnishings for either their House of Commons office or their departmental office in the past two years and, in each case, what was the cost of the (a) renovation (b) new furnishings provided on a per item basis?—Sessional Paper No. 301-2/3,280D.

By Mr. Sharp,—Supplementary Return to an Order of the House, dated February 18, 1976 (*Question No. 3,654*) showing: 1. Since April 20, 1968, what amount of public funds was spent on furniture, equipment, fixtures, carpets, etc. in each department for (a) the department office of the Minister (b) the Parliamentary office of the Minister?

2. What is the total expenditure for this period for all departments?—Sessional Paper No. 301-2/3,654B.

By Mr. Sharp,—Supplementary Return to an Order of the House, dated February 16, 1976 (*Question No. 3,792*)