

Ontario.—(Notice of Motion for the Production of Papers No. 62—Mr. Marshall).

The House resumed debate on the motion of Mr. Sharp, seconded by Mr. Faulkner,—That Bill C-58, An Act to amend the Income Tax Act, be now read a second time and referred to the Standing Committee on Broadcasting, Films and Assistance to the Arts.

And debate continuing;

Mr. Sharp, a Member of the Queen's Privy Council, from his place in the House, stated that an agreement could not be reached under the provisions of Standing Order 75A or 75B with respect to an allocation of time to the second reading and reference to the Standing Committee on Broadcasting, Films and Assistance to the Arts of Bill C-58, An Act to amend the Income Tax Act and, under the provisions of Standing Order 75c, gave notice of his intention to move a motion at the next sitting of the House that not more than five additional hours shall be allotted for the consideration of the Bill at that stage.

Debate was resumed on the motion of Mr. Sharp, seconded by Mr. Faulkner,—That Bill C-58, An Act to amend the Income Tax Act, be now read a second time and referred to the Standing Committee on Broadcasting, Films and Assistance to the Arts.

And debate continuing;

Changes in Committee Membership

Notice having been filed with the Clerk of the House pursuant to Standing Order 65(4)(b), membership of Committees was amended as follows:

Mr. Corbin for Mr. McIsaac on the Standing Committee on Agriculture.

Mr. Clermont for Mr. Lee on the Standing Committee on Finance, Trade and Economic Affairs.

Messrs. Epp and Rodriguez for Messrs. Clarke (Vancouver Quadra) and Hogan on the Standing Committee on Labour, Manpower and Immigration.

Messrs. Halliday, Whittaker and McIsaac for Messrs. Neil, Wise and Côté on the Standing Committee on Agriculture.

Returns and Reports Deposited with the Clerk of the House

The following papers having been deposited with the Clerk of the House were laid upon the Table pursuant to Standing Order 41(1), namely:

By Mr. Trudeau, a Member of the Queen's Privy Council,—Summary of Orders in Council passed during the month of September, 1974. (English and French).—Sessional Paper No. 301-1/359A.

By Mr. Sharp, a Member of the Queen's Privy Council,—Supplementary Return to an Order of the House, dated October 14, 1975 (*Question No. 2,811*) showing: 1. Have any departments set-up or are contemplating setting-up separate English and French language teaching sections within the department separate and distinct from the language school operated by the Public Service Commission and, if so (a) which ones (b) on what date was such decision taken, by whom and for what reasons?

2. How many man-years are the estimated requirements for the purpose of each department as described in Part 1?

3. What is the public service classification and salary range of any and all persons heading up such a section or group?

4. Will such sections, by direct departmental instructional courses, replace any of the teaching functions of the language school and, if so, in what way?

5. Are there to be any redundancies in teaching staff at the language school and, if so, how many, or will there be a transfer to departments of any or most of the teaching personnel of the language school?

6. What is the estimated cost by department of such a reorganization?—Sessional Paper No. 301-2/2,811A.

By Mr. Sharp,—Supplementary Return to an Order of the House, dated October 29, 1975 (*Question No. 3,092*) showing: 1. For each (a) department (b) agency, what (i) statutes (ii) guidelines (iii) regulations govern the giving or withholding of information requested by private individuals or organizations?

2. In each case (a) what is the substance of the (i) statute (ii) guideline (iii) regulation (b) by whom were they prepared or issued and on what date?

3. In each case, what effect has increased computerization had upon the (a) ability to meet private requests for information (b) cost of providing such information (c) frequency with which requests are made?

4. In each case, what is the general practice with respect to the (a) maintenance (b) destruction of manual files when the essential information within them has been computerized?

5. In each case, has the experience with respect to computerization been similar to that noted in the United States by a study for the National Academy of Science which determined that strictly factual files were most likely to be