the border. Now domestic measures affecting competition were also on the table.

Under Peter Sutherland's wonderful leadership, the member countries of the GATT responded admirably to these challenges in the Uruguay Round. The Uruguay Round Agreement demonstrates a qualitatively different and novel role for the institutions it has created and the sub-agreements it incorporates. This is evident when one compares the new World Trade Organization [WTO] with the limited and passive list of tasks assigned in 1948 to the proposed International Trade Organization and subsequently to the GATT.

Trade lawyers have moved from dealing with a 70-page GATT Agreement to a 560-page World Trade Organization Agreement. Although Sir Winston Churchill once said that "if you have ten thousand regulations, you destroy all respect for the law," our expectations remain nevertheless high. We are calling on the World Trade Organization to resolve far more complex issues. Over the coming months, early panels may involve examinations of the extent to which a country may regulate internal competition and involve itself in a domestic market. We now accept this as a matter for international scrutiny. The rules of the WTO represent a paradigm shift, a far cry from the transformer and shock absorber of yesterday. Today, the WTO's rules have become a regulator, increasingly dictating the permissible power currents in trade.

These international trade rules, like all forms of regulation, are not static in nature; rather they foster progress and direct the They provide an orderly means for peaceful, course it may follow. and profitable, change. Trade rules therefore serve a dual On the one hand, they bring order to chaos. like traffic lights, creating transparency and predictability, allowing economic actors to maximize their benefits without harming On the other hand, however, they also create the conditions for channelling the raw, untapped energy of trade flows. Trade rules encourage some trade patterns over others and contribute to efficiency in a given economy. Trade rules therefore both regulate and improve. And rules prevent the larger powers from unilaterally, and without warning, imposing their will on lesser economic actors. Although power can never be ignored, its nefarious effects are at least mitigated.

Trade rules will also serve as a benchmark — the WTO as regulator must also have a performance meter. Through devices such as the new Trade Policy Review Mechanism, we can observe how far each of us has come and what work remains to be done. We need to measure our performance, just as we measure trade flows themselves.

As governments have increasingly demonstrated their willingness to accept the disciplines of agreed trade rules, so have these rules become more precise, covering more areas of activity. The zone of government action free from international disciplines is