

ilar power. Still it has a bearing upon the supposed intrinsic abhorrence with which their Lordships are asked to regard the putting of questions, otherwise than by litigation, to a Court of law.

Very little assistance is afforded by the almost or altogether obsolete practice of His Majesty's Judges in England being questioned by the Crown as to the state of the law, if indeed it can be said that there ever was any legitimate practice of that kind. Since 1760, when Lord Mansfield on behalf of His Majesty's Judges did furnish an answer, though with evident reluctance, as to the Crown's right to summon Lord George Sackville before a court-martial, no instance of such a proceeding has been adduced. Earlier practice in bad times is of no weight, and as the unwritten Constitution of England is a growth, not a fabric, it may be that desuetude for 150 years has rendered unconstitutional, in the sense in which that term is understood in England, any attempt to repeat such an experiment. If the point ever arises it must be settled upon the Judges of England either assenting or refusing to comply with the request. It will then be a question what is the duty appertaining to their office, which is a very different question from that now, before the Board.

It is more to the purpose to consider what has been the practice in Canada under the British North America Act, and how that practice has been regarded by Courts and the Judicial Committee. The needs of one country may differ from those of another, and Canada must judge of Canadian requirements.

The first step towards authorising the Executive Government of the Dominion to obtain the opinion of the Supreme Court by a direct request was taken in 1875 by the Canadian Parliament. By the terms of the 1875 Act, any question might be put to the Supreme Court. Since then, in 1891, and again in 1906, fresh Acts were passed, providing for the same thing with more detail though not in wider terms, and it is the 1906 Act which gave rise to the present Appeal. Between 1875 and today the Supreme Court from time to time has been asked and has repeatedly answered questions put to it in accord-