

FEEDBACKFEEDBACK

Continued from page 5

to fight - not struggle, mind you - fight, then it takes 4 CP's (and big ones) to remove any individual not just him.

Remember one has four clubs on his person at all times - two fists and two feet. In order to remove a person (without losing one's masculinity or teeth) you must control all four clubs. This was very hard Sat. night because of the conditions. Lets face it the Campus Police are not Bouncers, we are not being paid "bouncer" wages. I personally was afraid for my face and my groin during our removal of Mr. Brown. About the best ans. I can give is to tell people to ask a CP or Sub-supervisor what its like to try and throw a fighter out of an event (especially when there are two flights of stairs to go up.)

Another question is why was he punched so hard or how come he received so much damage. This I can not ans. properly. As far as I am concerned it was a lucky punch, a knockout punch - call it what you like. I certainly don't envision myself as a tremendous puncher. As I stated before, I punched in self-defense and I punched blindly. I remember vaguely his face (just before he was hit) but I do remember vividly his fist being "cocked". Had I not hit him and knocked him out cold I might have been the one with all the stitches.

We have never had an individual struggle, fight and kick against the CP's as much as Mr. Brown did, while being removed. Usually we have no trouble, removing even a big fellow, when there are 3 or 4 of us. If there is trouble it is usually only a struggle or an odd kick.

What Mr. Moreland saw was correct - except it was one punch. However, he only saw the end result - the fact that I was not bleeding, was enough to convince him that our action was unnecessary. I might add that being the Chief of Campus Police I know for a fact that Mr. Moreland has never had to remove, physically, anyone from an event. Therefore he knows nothing about the situation which arises when this type of action is taken. Furthermore Mr. Moreland, has admitted to me that he had had 4 or 5 beer and was feeling "no pain". How he could have followed such

a complicated series of events, in the condition he was in, the position he was in (my back was to him and he was down several stairs) and the time of his arrival on the scene, is difficult to understand.

One more point which seems to have been overlooked, by everyone except the CP's who helped eject Mr. Brown, that is the fact that Mr. Brown was "a big boy" - he was very strong, fast, heavy and above all, extremely hard to hold onto (he did have on a very slippery leather jacket). I understand now that Mr. Brown is not a "fighting fiend", but let us say that he did awfully well against four CP's.

I would like to state publicly that I will accept Mr. Moreland's resignation. I would also like to go on record as being sorry for hitting Mr. Brown so hard, but it was something I had to do and had no control over - but I do believe our actions were justified, and of this fact I am sure. We would take the same action again. We did not charge Mr. Brown with assault because of the consequences of the fight but we would like to remind the student body that there is an automatic \$50 fine for striking a CP in the line of his duty. We do not condone nor will we tolerate fighting at any events we work and we will use

whatever means is justifiable to break up and charge fighters and trouble makers.

I hope this will serve to clear up some of the rumors, if however anybody is in doubt please don't hesitate to call or stop me on campus so we can talk about it. We want the Campus Police to be respected for the job they must do and an understanding that they are also students trying to make a little pocket money.

Thank You

Gary Godwin
Chief Campus Police

I agree with the contents of the above letter by Mr. Godwin as I was one of the CP's involved in the incident.

Chuck Attwater
UNB Campus Police

Due to the fact that I came to the scene of the incident when

Dear Sir:


In browsing through the November 10 issue of "The Bruns", I find some very amusing and interesting comments. In a letter to the editor, Mr. J. Ajai says, concerning VD (and I quote), "It is very likely that the bunch of 50 people who went to her recently are freshmen because I expect the upper classmen to know better." This statement sheds a whole new approach to medicine. Doctors may now begin treatment to remove VD from society. According to Mr. Ajai, all people above the level of first year university are

immune to any venereal disease. FANTASTIC.

Mr. Ajai may be interested to note that any study of VD will quickly indicate that even virgins may transmit gonorrhea. Women can carry this form of VD for all their lives and never be aware of it as it may remain inactive. Also on the topic of VD, Mr. Stewart indicates in his article of November 3 that Nurse Copp claims that the only source of VD at UNB in seven years (to her knowledge) has been from a foreign student. "Another fantastic assumption." Unlikely as it could seem, but if this were true, does it not indicate

an unwillingness, and possible trust of the students to approach the Health Center staff with serious problems---Remember, VD is a killer--not just a dirty disease. The November 10 issue "The Bruns" also indicates a high level of social awareness in the student body as a whole. People cannot even control their actions concerning a beer game. How can anyone assume that they are enlightened enough to recognize, control, and eradicate VD without the aid of doctors.

Peter Phenney
Alumni



Mr. Brown had already been escorted out of the Dance floor area, I can only testify to the validity of what happened thereafter. However, I readily accept Mr. Godwin's account of what happened on the Dance floor and I should like to state publicly that I consider Gary's action and that of Chuck and myself to be justifiable under the existing conditions.

Emile Gillezeau
UNB Campus Police

Dear Sir:

I fully agree with your opinion of the Northern Light and the case of the fearless big-game hunter. And apparently so do a lot of Bathurst area residents. In the two weeks since the picture and its caption were run the editorial column of the paper has been well populated by letters condemning both the action and the paper, for its presentation. I was home the weekend after the picture was printed and was one of the people who sent in an

angry letter. I haven't seen the all so I don't know if mine was printed, but it was in a considerably more angry vein than the letters I did see.

I just thought you might be interested in knowing that North shore people aren't as blithering and the super-strong hulk freaks you might have thought were from the Northern's story.

Pax

Colin Calnan

Poorly planned programs hinder Indian housing

Dear Sir:

Concerning Mr. Morrison's account of the UNBI housing survey - I would like to comment on Anthony Francis' statement that "an Indian's mentality changes when he lives in a good house." Although the definite improvement in the living environment is sufficient to give anyone's morale a boost, it is my point that more than a change in mentality is required if the Indian family is to

take maximum advantage of modern housing. It has been my experience to observe a modern housing development on one northern British Columbia Indian Reservation. Having been built about six years previous they were, to say the least, in a bad state of repair. Modern bungalows, designed for central heating had the stove pipes thrust through the picture windows. Where windows had been broken, they were replaced with cardboard; most of the homes

needed badly a coat of paint. I didn't observe the interiors of these dwellings, my vantage point was the muddy foot path designed as a street. (There was no automobile access road.)

I am not placing the entire blame on the Indian community, rather much of it should lie with the incomplete or poorly planned programs developed by "government" for the improvement of Indian housing.

If the Indian reserves are to receive grants for new housing developments, then I think extra money should be reserved for the purposes of:

1. educating the family on how to maintain a modern dwelling. Because the houses are being provided free of charge, a program aimed at developing a certain pride of ownership could be followed to develop a self-initiated desire

to maintain the dwellings in as good a condition as possible.

2. subsidizing the costs of maintenance. If the income of the family is insufficient, there should be no monetary excuse for keeping the homes in a liveable condition.

Yours truly

Donald Dunbar
Forestry 5

Reviewed by
ROGER L.

Jonathan
primer on w
are interest
tion, (2) ou
inside the
the dispos
and if you
the legalit
Free Schoo

At least
legal and f
author incl
source mat
book atten
to the con
harassment
wealthy, th
physical sy
the dynam
of learning
the persist
he makes
repeatedly
action, in
in this cou

When
mination
"there ca
and white
Why is g
culture s
oes Mr.
be taken
Mr. Koz
school ex
or can,
new year
manpower
entangled
schools n
to advoc
to build
they hav
and pro
disservice

There
style th
should
city off
seek re
whose
helping
are the
books f
schools
and pro
will rai
schools
gifts fr
the ke
school
in relat
How d
ationsh