rial on the spot will probably equalize the price. Having been over the country, I can with confidence now state the entire cost of the work from Aylmer to the Georgian Bay viite Matawan, will not exceed \$30,000 per mile, for a line with iron rails, fully equipped, and at the same time leave a margin of profit in the pockets of the contractors, of not

far from \$1,000,000.

So much, in relation to cost of your line. With reference to that recommended by the honorable Premier, from Renfrew or Pembroke, to the mouth of French River, we have absolutely not the slighest clue to arrive at any conclusion as to the probable cost. It is true the country, or a portion of it, to be traversed by the lines in the direction of Pembroke and Renfrew, was explored by Mr Hezlewcod during last summer, and extracts from several of his letters are given by Mr Fleming, for the information of the honorable Premier, and of intending contractors, but nothing of a definite character as to cost, elevations, &c.

This document, accompanied by a specification for the construction of the railway both drawn up by Mr Fleming, are here-

with submitted.

It certainly appears a little strange, after an expenditure of so many hundreds of thousands of dollars by the Dominion Government in making surveys for the Pacific Ratiway, that on the first section of some 217 miles in length, from Benfrew to mouth of French River, the first instalment advertised to be let, and on which tenders are to be received for its construction, not a single brass instrument has been used in the way of making surveys, to ascertain the cost. That the Government, engineers, intending contractors, and the public generally are as prefoundly ignorant of the geographical, geological and engineering features of the country to be traversed as they were of the interior of Africa before the great Livingstore's explorations; and those circumstances any person or company seeking to tender for the work, between the limited time, 16th of November last, the date of general conditions and of specifications supplied by the Government, and the end of December last, the date of receiving tenders, must, in framing their hap bazard estimates, have bat no sufficient time allowed to pass over the country even in the most cursory manner.

A tender of \$40,000 per mile, might under those circumstances, have been fooked on as being more within the margin of safety, than our comparatively moderate sum of \$30,000 for the Matawan route; however, time and the opening of the tenders will reveat.

In the course of the monourable Premier's remarks, he dwelt largely on the fact that as measured on the map, the route from the month of French River, vis Renfrew, to Men treal, was a more direct one than that via the Matawan.

This fact has never been denied or concealed by us. On the contrary, it has been acknowledged, as will be seen by consuiting page 4 of printed letter addressed to you, under date of 24th September last. As this will be found one of the most important points urged by the first Minister, and on which his decision will probably hinge, the following copious extract will be given :-"Why then should the Honourable Premier, "who, it is understood, has no personal "knowledge of the locality, insist on " ignoring sclemn compact, that Parliament, and move the " Act of "eastern terminus of the Pacific Railway "about twenty five miles directly south, to a " point on the air line from mouth of French "River to Renfrew, the nearest place for rail "connection on the east? An answer to this "question no doubt will be because this " route is the shortest distance between pre-"sent rail connection with the Ottawa Valley "at Renfrew, and a good harbor on lake "Huron, at the same time heading in the " proper direction for Sault Ste. Marie, a "locality ultimately to be reached with our "Canadlan railway system.

"To this it may be rejoined: yes, on the map such appears to be the case.

"Total distance from mouth of "French River to Ottawa ... 2854

"Showing only a saving ln running distance, as measured on the map, of 11\(^3\) miles in in favor of the Honorable Premier's more avorable line, as claimed. This difference is more apparent than real, as will be seen when the question is examined from another and pre-eminently governing point of view.

"On page 16 of the Report, addressed to
you, under date of the 31st March last,
while referring to the summit level to be
surmounted by the Parry Sound line, in
passing from the waters of the Ottawa to