course of a long life, the person who now has the honour to address you, Sir, has often endured the mortification of that feeling*; but now that his zeal has become nearly extinct, he conceives it to be his duty at this crisis, to place upon paper this imperfect epitome of a much more extended collection of facts and reasoning; and which he yet flatters himself he shall be able to complete, in a manner to be of some use to the society of which it has pleased Providence to constitute him a member.

57. To the government of the United States I have no other apology to offer than the view I have already taken of its inimical conduct towards Great Britain and her colonies. After pilfering our fisheries, I now see it aiming, as I verily believe, at the acquisition of our colonial timber trade †, the loss or even diminution of which will be most ruinous or injurious to the colonies, and, eventually, a serious injury to Great Britain herself. Yes, Sir, with the proud consciousness of ranking among the most loyal of his Majesty's subjects, with an apprehension prompted by that feeling, I see the federal eagle, like a bird of prey, watching the colonies situated near her own nest; and, in anticipation, exulting in the acts of the British rulers: acts, which she herself, from policy, not less than from avarice, and they from misinformation, have promoted.

58. To you, Sir, alone, I am of opinion, I ought to offer an excuse for the liberty I have taken of making use of your name without license; but when, after a debate with myself, I came to consider that there was nothing personal to you in

• Notes (*) and (†) to paragraph 46.

⁺ To prove an act of state policy, or the secret confederacy of other nations, in as complete a manner as we are expected to do in a suit in a court of law, is out of the power of any private man; but whoever takes the trouble to consider the interest which the United States have in imposing duties on British colonial timber. perhaps, also, for we are mainly in the dark on this subject, in taking duties off from their own (see paragraph 6.), how they have acted on some occasions (paragraph 14, note (*) to paragraph 36.), and the manner in which they have since their independence, in very numerous instances, been embroiled with other nations (see paragraphs 35 and 37), it will, I think, be conceded, that the suspicion is so strong, that it amounts to something bordering on proof. It certainly is not a measure hostile to their interests, or we should have seen the arguments against it in their files of newspapers, mixed up with the disgusting trash of their daily abuse of every British transaction.