

hear the conclusion of the whole matter—subscribe to the doctrines contained in the “four volumes,” or vacate the Union Meeting-houses, which have, “by some means, fallen in the hands of the Methodist brethren, by deed to the Conference.”

#### A CAUTION.

To conclude the discussion of the subject of Union Meeting-Houses, permit me to quote a few sentences from a timely article on “Encouragement and Caution to Baptists,” published several years ago in the *Christian Messenger*:—“In some places it may be thought necessary to build a ‘Union House’—about which, however, there will sometimes be ‘disunion’—but when you join in such a house be careful about the *Deed*. You may be told that the Methodist Conference is a body corporate, and thus in a position to hold property, and that it is safer for the property to be deeded to some such body, therefore it will be less trouble and *all right* to have it thus arranged. Be not deceived by such sophistry. If you think you must have a Union house, extend—if need be—for a Board of trustees composed of representatives of the denominations concerned, and have the property deeded to such Board of trustees for the use of those denominations. (Perhaps it would be well if some of our brethren, acquainted with the legality of such matters, would give us the proper course to pursue in such cases. The above is simply my opinion from a knowledge of several such cases.) The experience of our brethren at Port George is the same as that of our brethren in a number of other places in the Province.”

By heeding the *Caution* the unsuspecting will avoid “disunion,” and thus preserve “the unity of the Spirit in the bond of peace.”

E. H. SWEET.

Middleton, June 20th, 1885.