



"THE GREATEST POSSIBLE GOOD TO THE GREATEST POSSIBLE NUMBER."

VOL. II. No. 39. BEETON, ONT., DECEMBER 22, 1886 WHOLE No 91

THE DEFENCE FUND.

WE shall keep standing in type the names of those who have aided in the best interests of bee-keeping by subscribing to the defence fund in the "McIntosh-Harrison" case. There is no time to be lost. Our action must be prompt and decisive.

A. I. Root, Medina, O.....	\$5
J. K. Darling, Almonte.....	5
Jones, Macpherson & Co., Beeton.....	5
A. L. Swinson, Goldsboro, N.C.....	2
M. B. Holmes, Delta.....	5
G. A. Deadman, Brussels.....	1
J. B. Wrightson, Willow Creek.....	2
R. F. Holtermann, Brantford.....	1
Aspinwall & Treadwell, Barrytown...	5
D. L. Wilson, Newmarket.....	5
Josiah Reaman, Carville.....	2

For the Canadian Bee Journal.

MCINTOSH VS. HARRISON.

SEND herewith five dollars which you will kindly apply in the fund for the defence in the above cause, and permit me to say to the bee-keepers of Ontario:—Friends, let there be immediate action on your part! Rally around the standard. Let us stand shoulder to shoulder in this matter, call the attention of your bee-keeping neighbors who do not take the C. B. J. There must be funds to carry on this suit, be you the owner of five colonies or one hundred, all are alike interested. Are we to stand idly by and see our beloved pursuit wiped out of existence? I for one say, no! and I am sure this will be the response from every true bee-keeper in Ontario. Do your duty and do it now.

M. B. HOLMES.

Delta, Dec. 10th, 1886.

WANTS A "UNION" IN CANADA.

I enclose you \$1 to apply towards defence fund in the McIntosh-Harrison suit. I would be very much in favor of having a "Union" in Canada such as they have in the U. S., if only a sufficient number of bee-keepers would come forward, but a great many are so indifferent in the matter, that it seems somewhat discouraging, and as I see it now, the Union would be almost forced to defend a suit of a bee-keeper who may have never belonged to a Union, simply because it is not best to let a case adverse to the interest of bee-keepers go to record. However, something of this kind is needed, and possibly it could be so managed as to overcome the objections referred to.

G. A. DEADMAN.

Brussels, Ont., Dec. 10th, 1886.

We concede that there are times when bee-keepers may require to defend cases which have arisen through spite, but as explained in the last issue of the JOURNAL (page 746) there is more likelihood of such with a "Union" than without it. Take the present case for instance. The defendant never probably had any idea of help from any one, when he began this suit, or rather when it was brought against him. It seems to us that it would only be right and proper that the power of defence in such cases should be vested in the Ontario Bee-keepers' Association with their present prospective grant from the Ontario Government of \$500 per year. This would be one good way of using their new powers and capital.