

and in course of conversation mentioned that Ogle wanted him to do "that which might transport them all, and that when the proper time would arrive he would tell all that he knew about it." He told me afterwards that the conversation which he and Ogle had at the window, was as to the signing of the deed, and that he, Burland, did sign it.

(Here Mr. Scott read that part of witness's depositions which related to the conversation at the window, between Ogle Gowan and Burland, and contended that witness had not sworn that he HEARD that conversation, but that Burland told him afterwards of it.)

Counsellor DOHERTY—Did you, or did you not hear that conversation? I did not; it was spoken at a window at the opposite side of a large room, and in a low voice, I could not consequently hear it. Court—I thought so. Saw that deed, it was a deed conveying the lands of Ashwood to Ogle Gowan, or a rent charge thereout. Counsellor Doherty—Holis bolus giving the land? (Here Counsellor Hatchell told witness that he need not answer that question—it was not English! (a laugh) I know I may be critically exact with you, sir. Will you swear all these depositions are wrong? I will not. Then will you swear that they are all right? No, I will not. Then what will you swear?—(with pretended warmth) That they want revising. Counsellor Doherty—I am serious, sir. Witness—I assure you sir, I was never more serious in my life.

JOHN GOWAN examined Witness's father was Testator's nephew; saw Ogle Gowan repeatedly write his father's name; he handed it to witness several times, and asked him was it not like his father's hand writing, it was a very good likeness; could hardly tell one from the other; saw him do this four or five years ago.

This witness was cross-examined by Counsellor M'Kane, but nothing material elicited.

Rev. HUGH WEBB examined—Often saw the late John H. Gowan write; does not believe the signature to the deed produced in Court is his hand writing; saw him sign above five hundred summonses.

Cross-examined by Mr. MOORE—It strikes witness very strongly that the H is different. From the year 1816 to the year 1822, saw him write summonses; heard Mr. Gowan was 87 years of age when he died; at that age a man's writing does not generally improve!!

J. C. BEAUMAN, Esq. examined—Knew Testator; it is not an easy matter at any time to speak to a man's hand writing, but does not believe the signature on either side to be in the hand writing of the late Mr. Gowan.

Cross-examined by Mr. DOHERTY—This appears as if written by a young person. I think you could write a good Gowan now if you had a pen in your hand. I do think it is not his hand writing.

ROBERT OWEN, Esq. examined—Is a Magistrate; often saw the late John Hunter Gowan writing; his belief is, that these signatures are not in his hand writing.

Cross-examined by Mr. M'KANE—Forms his opinion from the steadiness, as he had always a tremor, and this is not like it.—His signature at all times had the character of a tremor.

WILLIAM HENRY TOWNSEND, Esq. examined—Was acquainted with the late Mr. Gowan for many years; witness was an Attorney, and agent to the deceased for a considerable time, and was, in consequence, well acquainted with his hand writing. Had much intercourse with him; does not believe the signature to the deed to be the hand writing of deceased.

Cross-examined by Mr. MOORE—Was Mr. Gowan's Attorney, ceased to act as such a short time before his death.

EDWARD LIPSETT, Esq. examined by Mr. DICKSON—Is a physician; knew the late John Hunter Gowan for nearly thirty years; often saw him write; thinks these signatures are not in his hand writing.

WILLIAM FURLONG, examined by Mr. SCOTT—Is Clerk to the Gorey Petty Sessions some years; knew the late Mr. Gowan, and often saw him write; does not believe he wrote the signature to this deed.

JOHN BERNEY, examined by Mr. HATCHALL—Was in the habit of doing business for