

(Mr. Ledogar, United States)

made 73 a second sentence to read as follows: "That report of 234 pages (CD/1170) is an integral part of this report and the main body of 41 pages follows. The appendix of 193 pages to the report [etc. etc.] is attached as appendix I".

The PRESIDENT (translated from French): I thank Ambassador Ledogar - I'm not sure I caught the full wording he proposed, but I am grateful to him. We will consider it once we have heard the representative of the Russian Federation.

Mr. BATSANOV (Russian Federation) (translated from Russian): I think that the proposals made by Ambassador Tóth and Ambassador Ledogar contain an idea which will help us to draft what we want to say with a much greater degree of clarity. In my view, it is a good idea to proceed as proposed at the beginning by Ambassador Tóth: to refer to both the report and the appendix in the first sentence of paragraph 73. And maybe, at the same time, it would be a good idea to indicate after that in brackets exactly what report and what appendix we are talking about, namely document CD/1170. After that, I think, we could simply say that the report is an integral part of the report of the Conference, and reproduce paragraphs 1 to 43, and then use Ambassador Ledogar's idea of combining paragraphs 73 and 74 and talk about the appendix. And then, I think, everything will be much clearer: the only point remaining is the figure 73.42, which for some reason I don't like; all the same some other way of putting it has to be found. But maybe we don't need it at all, since we have been talking about the report and about the appendix, and if we continue this paragraph 73 and refer to the appendix, we will not need any further clarifications. Consequently we could simply say "the appendix to the report of the Ad Hoc Committee on Chemical Weapons is attached as appendix I to this report", and then I think everything would be clear.

The PRESIDENT (translated from French): Do the proposals made by Ambassador Ledogar and those made by Ambassador Batsanov, jointly or almost - since they come to the same thing - meet with the agreement of this assembly? I am going to ask the Secretary-General of the Conference to be so kind as to reread to us paragraph 73 and the part of paragraph 74 which would be moved into 73. I give him the floor.

Mr. BERASATEGUI (Secretary-General of the Conference on Disarmament and Personal Representative of the Secretary-General of the United Nations): Paragraph 73 would then read as follows:

"At its 635th plenary meeting, on 3 September 1992, the Conference adopted the report of the Ad Hoc Committee re-established by the Conference under the agenda item at its 606th plenary meeting (see paragraph 8 above). That report (CD/1170) is an integral part of this report and its main body (pages 1 to 41) follows below. The appendix to the report of the Ad Hoc Committee on Chemical Weapons is attached as appendix I to this report."

Immediately afterwards, between quotation marks, would appear pages 1 to 41 of the report of the Ad Hoc Committee.