

U.S. TRADE LEGISLATION PROPOSALS
100th CONGRESS

Revised

Date: September 25, 1987

Page 43

<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>CURRENT LAW</u>	<u>HOUSE</u>	<u>SENATE</u>	<u>ADMIN POSITION</u>	<u>CDN POSITION</u>	
3. <u>Export Enhancement Program</u>	Funded at \$1-\$1.5 billion for 1985-88. Eligible countries generally limited to those where EC has established presence through subsidization.	-Sense of Congress - Expand list of eligible countries. -Extend EEP for further two years with additional funding of \$1 billion.	"Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1987"/ [H.R.3(S.1420)] (passed July 21, 1987)	No provision. Same as H.R.3. Would require use of EEP in response to cases of alleged unfair ag. trade practices.	Opposes expansion of EEP.	Proposals to extend and expand EEP would further depress world ag. prices. Concern over U.S. targetting of traditional Cdn markets.
4. <u>Marketing orders for fruits and vegetables</u>	Requires imported products to meet same or comparable quality standards as domestic products, with potential result that standards favour domestic producers.	Secretary of Agriculture permitted to advance effective date of marketing orders when it is determined imported commodities are not meeting quality standards.	Same as H.R. 3.		As marketing orders discriminate against foreign suppliers, opposed to extension of effective dates.	
5. <u>Honey Imports</u>	No provision.	Secretary of Agriculture to conduct study of impact of honey imports.	Same as H.R.3			
6. <u>Rose Imports</u>	No provision.	Same as for honey.	No provision.			
7. <u>Dairy Imports</u>	Quotas maintained on most imports of dairy products to protect dairy price support program. (Sect. 22 of Ag. Adj. Act)	Secretary of Agriculture to study impact of reduction or elimination of quotas on imports of certain dairy products, as result of MTN, or other negotiations/agreements.	Same as H.R 3			