

treatment in a very considerable proportion of cases the sugar diminishes at once, and sometimes it speedily disappears. Of course we should examine the urine from time to time to determine its condition as regards the presence of sugar and the amount of sugar. This treatment does not cause a disappearance of the sugar in all cases. I have a patient under observation now whom I saw for the first time about three weeks ago—a young, thin, intelligent man, who, I have reason to believe, adopted the anti-diabetic treatment and has carried it out fully. I prescribed no medicine at first, and that has been my custom, in order to see what the dietetic treatment will do of itself. In this case it has accomplished very little so far; and this case I am led to fear therefore will be one in which we cannot expect much success from treatment of any kind. If the dietetic treatment does not succeed we have no other resources; that is, no medicinal remedy yet known will succeed. It may have a certain influence over the disease, but it will not effect a cure. Then I could mention other cases. A gentleman whom I have seen now for two years, who until lately has taken scarcely any remedies, but has carried out the dietetic treatment very faithfully, presents urine which gives no evidence of sugar whatever. He retains his strength mentally and physically; he is a man of great activity, being engaged in business involving large responsibility, able to go on with it, and finding the dietetic treatment perfectly satisfactory—finding it no hardship.

Now, as to medicines, as I have said, a great number have been proposed from time to time, have been tried a short time, and then have passed out of use, others taking their place. This patient is not under my own care here. He is under treatment with the sulphide of calcium, a fifth of a grain three times a day, together with the dietetic treatment, so far as it can be carried out. With regard to this sulphide of calcium, one patient—a medical man in this vicinity who suffered from this disease—consulted me about three years ago, at which time he found that he had diabetes, adopted the dietetic treatment, relinquished his duties in town, which were exceedingly laborious, and went into the country, and his urine after a time showed no evidence of sugar. When I saw him last, which was a few months ago, I had never seen him look better, and he said to me that he had never felt better in his life. And, by the way, as an evidence that this disease may have existed some time before the patient's attention has been directed to any disease, this has been said to me over and over again by patients, even when the urine still contained sugar. They were not aware that they had any disease, as they felt much better than they had for months, perhaps for years before. They would not be aware that they had any disease were it not for a chemical examination of the urine. If they could put that out of view they would not have the consciousness of having any disease at all. This gentleman, who was a very

able practitioner, was led to use the remedy that I have just mentioned from finding it recommended, as he told me, in some medical journal. He has the impression that the sulphide of calcium had considerable to do with his apparent cure. Well, I am free to say that when I talked with him about it my own belief was that he was apparently cured by the dietetic treatment, and by a change of habits of life, the avoidance perhaps of some excesses.

To one patient who came to see me I stated these facts with regard to that remedy, and I said, "If you feel no objection I will prescribe it for you." This was a case in which the dietetic treatment had been extremely successful, and most of the time there was very little if any sugar in the urine. I told the patient that the remedy in question would do no harm; that I thought I could say that. He said, "Well, let us try it." I put him upon the remedy, beginning with small doses, and increasing them. I began in his case with an eighth of a grain, but I think we might begin with a quarter of a grain; in other cases I have begun with a quarter of a grain three times a day, after a fortnight doubling it, going up to two grains, and continuing it indefinitely. Well, this patient went on in that way, and he is very much impressed with the idea that it has been of use to him. Now we must make some degree of allowance with regard to the opinion of the patient as to the effect of the remedy. I do not mean to say that the remedy has not been of value, but I do not feel as certain as the patient does with respect to its value. I am also prescribing the same remedy in three or four other cases, but the period during which it has been used is too short, I think, to enable one to form a correct judgment with regard to it. I shall certainly continue the use of the remedy, for it can do no harm; and, moreover, it is a gratifying thing to the patient to be taking a remedy which he supposes may be of use. The moral effect of remedies, as people's views are now, is by no means inconsiderable; it is a factor which we cannot altogether ignore in the treatment of disease.

This disease I believe may be kept in abeyance indefinitely by appropriate dietetic treatment, and yet I am extremely doubtful whether a patient can ever properly consider that there is a permanent recovery.—*American Practitioner.*

SYPHILIS IN MARRIED LIFE.

By M. FOURNIER.

Lecture delivered at the St. Louis Hospital (Paris).

GENTLEMEN,—How often, in your practice, are you consulted by individuals who, having been unfortunate enough to contract syphilis, desire to know if they are completely cured, and if they may marry with safety!