

the costly concession. \* The essay is chiefly a review and criticism. It swells to grander principles, and a more universal comprehension at the close, and is throughout marked by high attainments and great ability. It is superior to Mr. Mill's in performance, and cannot fail to exert a deserved influence on the question at this crisis."—*Philadelphia American and Gazette*.

A reply to John Stewart Mill on the Subjection of Women attempts to prove by reasoning what the pleader for household altars and fires has tried to do by sentiment. He brings forward little that is new on this subject, but employs the ordinary arguments with considerable skill, and will, we think, convince all but those obstinately wedded to the other side that "their fathers were not all tyrants, nor their mothers all slaves."—*Cincinnati Gazette*.

"The writer earnestly encounters Mr. Mill, and, undaunted by his great name, presents a well-considered and well-arranged statement of the conservative side of the question, whose settlement, as modern reformers desire, would, in the judgment of many, only give a greater degree of restlessness, and political immorality and excitement, to a people whose besetting sins already go too far in this direction. All who do not believe that their fathers were all tyrants and their mothers all slaves, should read this book."—*Charleston (S. C.) Courier*.

"This is a volume without a hint at its authorship, a table of contents or an index. We confess to a prejudice against it, but upon personal it proves to be a very able, candid, and convincing argument. Rev. Dr. Bushnell was expected to expose the fallacies of female suffrage, but his arrow fell far short of the mark. The unknown essayist has done very much better. There is real solid argument in this little book, and not the unreasoning cant that too often palms itself off for logic. Without going into the details of the subject, we may say that this volume presents the woman question in all its phases more justly than any other the agitation for female suffrage has called out."—*Chicago Evening Journal*.

"The unknown author of this reply, whom we suspect is a strong-minded layman, has discussed this great social problem with great thoroughness and ability. Those who feel interested in the projected social reform, cannot find a book in which the fallacies of Woman's Rights, from Mill down to Miss Walker, are so fully exposed, as in this reply. It is the best book on the side against Mill. And no one can rise from reading it without the conviction that 'our fathers were not all tyrants, nor our mothers all slaves.'"—*Philadelphia Lutheran Observer*.

\* \* \* I have just returned from the sea side, and have only been able as yet to read about forty pages of your book; but judging from this, I am able to congratulate you heartily on your success, and encourage you to persevere in authorship.

D. H. MACVICAR,  
Montreal.