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quebec senior league
Royals
Otawa
Ottawa
Valleyfield
Chicoutimi
Quicoute
Sherbrooke
Quebec-ontario junior
Eastern Divisio
Canadie
Quebec
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Quebec } & 20 \\ \text { Three Rivers } \\ 22 \\ \text { Royals }\end{array}$
Western Divisio
Marlbo
St. Mic
Barrie
$\xrightarrow{\text { Barrie }}$
St. Cath
Guelph
Oshaw

provincial league
St. Jerome
S. Hyacinhe
S. Therese sit hriaite

SPORT-LITES






 of curling etiquette as asken trom ",
Analysis of the Art of Curling", Anal
H.


WATER POLO

## 1. Don't forget to clean your stone

cent mext
Sole

6. Be ready to sweep from hog line to
tee.
7. Don't stand in the house unless you
are skipping, Sweepers should remain
between the hog lines.




$\square$

FOR AN EDUCATION IT'S
U. N. B. AND

Varsity
Basketball
Last Saturday night at the L. B. Gym,
the U.N.B. men's basketball team play ed host to the Aroostock State Norma
School team, and were Shool team, and were trimincd ked by the amazing foul shooting of
the Aroostock team who made 31 out
of 45 aatempts from the foul line. U.N.B. couldn't do anything right,
and at times the game looked mo and at times the game looked mo
like foobball than basketall. The wi
ners used a zone defence which t ners used a zone defence which the
locais could do little with. This type

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { is not usvally used here due to the } \\
& \text { large size of the gym floor. The half. } \\
& \text { time score was } 22-16 \text { for the visitors, } \\
& \text { who kept the lead throughout the } \\
& \text { game. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { technical foul were called against } \\
& \text { U.N.B. while Aroostock State drew } \\
& \text { con } 13 \text { personal fouls. The scoring }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { only } 13 \text { personal fouls. The scoring } \\
& \text { for the winners was well spread out } \\
& \text { with Lyford, Siles and Cahill notching }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \text { tor the winners was well } \\
& \text { with Lyford, Siles and Cahill notching } \\
& \text { 15, } 11 \text { and 10 points respectively. Top } \\
& \text { men for U.N.B. Varsity were Gorman, }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { men for U.N.B. Varsity were Gorman, } \\
& \text { and Simpson with } 8 \text { and } 6 \text { points res } \\
& \text { pectively. Eric Garland of the losers }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { pectuvely. } \\
& \text { was the only player to be fouled out } \\
& \text { of the game with } 5 \text { fouls. Varsity will }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { of the game with } 5 \text { fouls. Varsity will } \\
& \text { play its last fall game at Machias, Me., }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { play its last fall game at Machias, Me } \\
& \text { this coming Saturday, where they wil } \\
& \text { meet Washington State Normal Schoo }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { meet Wash } \\
& \text { Lineups: }
\end{aligned}
$$

AROOSTOCK-Adams 7, Cahill
Stridge 0, Spoooner 2, Oliver 6, Ctrer Stridge 0, Spoooner 2, Oliver 6, Ctrer
0, Doughty 0, Lyford 15, Desmond
$\mathcal{A}$ s Seen from the $\mathcal{B l e a c h e r s}$
This post waek hos been a quiet one on the Sorting seene, and


 hetic reams will be called together for the purpose of alloting heir squad. Sounds like, simple motter-why the concern? The probem is actual choosing of the most valuable ployer is a very delicote matter, one which can easty go astray. You dont think so? Let
ne point out that it even got out of hand omong the leading
boseball outhorities in the US in this year's solection of the most vasebal authorites in the
valuable player in the National Leogue. Who did they pick?
Hank Souer of the Cubs. Why? Brother, that IS a good question.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ ook your eye you would probably come up with either Duke Snider
Red Schendienst. In the hitting department it would have to either Ston Musial or Jackie Robinson. Where is the name of
-onk Souer I I just doosn't oppear. He ceranly con't pitch or
otch his fielding is hardly better than averoae, and it therefore atch, his fielding is hardly better than average, and it therefore
pppars thet he was chosen for his hitting ability. But the point
pors
 chosen, on questionable hruting hat he was responsible for keeping
batting champion! It it ine that
the Cubs in the pennant fight right till the very end, but so did
隹 Musial with the Cards, Thompson with the Giants, and Robinson
with the Dodgers, all better hitters who lead their team to greater Another glaring example was the picking of Roy Campanella the year before. Now, we ore not criticizing the actual choice of
Compy, as he is undoubteaily the number one catcher in the N.L. and one of its greatest competitors, but the catch to it is that
few weeks ofter he hod been selected os the most valuable in his eogue a poll was held to pick the greatest, and thus the most he best in the N.L., nor Berra, the choice as the most voluablé the A.L, but a man by the name of Musial. Thus Stan was
most valuable ployer in all boseboll, but he wasn't the most wiuable in the N.L. Queer? Not especially, as it happens every
ooy, that is why this column is being written. We can see therefore that it is a problem which can even baffle
e tops in outhorities. What is to prevent it from happening on就compus? We know tor a fact that it has happened before, ve don't want to see it recur. When you are asked to make
our decision, think of the standard which I have mentioned above. Use it as your basis for the decision, Forget personal likes or
dislikes, petty differences and favouritism, and pick the one you
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ leam will naturally be the most valuable-1


