

*The single Question is (as our Author states it Himself) whether the Continuance of the Hessian Troops, in the Pay of Great Britain, be for the Honour and Interest of the King and this Kingdom; which, I hope, hath been fairly examined in the preceding Pages.*

The only Observation, that I shall make farther, is, that though *our Author desires this Controversy may be confin'd to the true Merits of the Question, without any Mistrrepresentations;* yet He concludes with the basest Reflection on *those Gentlemen, who differ from Him in this Measure, as Persons, who are really grieved, not that We have any Thing to do with Hanover Dominions; but that We have any Thing to do with the Elector of Hanover.*

As infamous as the Design of this Reflection is, I will venture to say there are multitudes of Persons, in this Kingdom, zealously affected to the *Electors of Hanover*, who heartily wish that *We had nothing to do with the Hanover Dominions;* but We must always expect these mean Arts from Men, who want better Arguments; for as *no Bishop, no King* was the Cant-Expression of one Reign; it is now turn'd into *no W—le, no House of Hanover!*

But We trust in his Majesty's Wisdom, that He will not only separate the *Royal Character* from the *Minister*, but likewise consider Himself in a *double Capacity*, as King of *Great Britain* and Elector of *Hanover*. He will have the Goodness to excuse the laudable Partiality of *Englishmen* towards their own Country, and not make the Case of *Twelve Thousand Hessians* (which They may apprehend to be an useless Burthen upon them) the only Criterion of Loyalty to his Person and Affection to his Government.