

it, dear," and gives it the carving knife to amuse itself with, but which at the same time endangers the lives of the other children. Father comes along and takes the knife from the spoiled child, seeing that it is likely to do itself and the others harm with it. The child whines again and grieves for the loss of it. That is the only kind of "grievance" the Catholic child, "Minority," cradled in Manitoba, has.

Romanists, both clerical and lay, can change to suit the times and circumstances; but Romanism never. Its anti-Protestant tenets are the same to-day as they were in the days of bloody Mary, and of the Bartholomew massacre. The persecuting canons of the "church," the creed and teaching of the popes and of popery, are the same to-day that they were in the age and times of pope Gregory the 7th and pope "Pious" the fourth. We have undeniable proof of this in the Encyclicals, etc., of pope Pious 9 and others. With due foresight, to wisely guard against the recurrence of the same or a similar state of things, is not to adopt their principles and churchly legalized practice of persecution, which we deprecate; but is simply having a regard to the principle of self-protection, which is a primary law of our being.

"Oh, but," say you, "times and seasons change, and Romanists and Romanism have changed with them." Have they indeed? A lion or a tiger that has been deprived of its teeth and claws by filing them down, has undergone a change, truly; but nevertheless, being naturally bloodthirsty, it is dangerous to life and limb to allow it to run at large. The all-devouring teeth of Romanism had at one time a well-merited rasping; but since that time they have been allowed to grow, and Protestantism, through its concessions, and hence, I may say, to its well deserved cost, has already been made to feel the sharpness of their pressure. And the great, or the great, great grandchildren of the gentlemen Lords and Commons who passed the "Catholic Emancipation Bill," will probably be compelled to feel them yet more keenly!

Sir John Thompson is said to have become a Roman Catholic. But how any man of intelligence and honesty, with the Bible in his hand, could accept the traditions and teachings of Rome and become a Roman Catholic passes my comprehension. Spiritually blind from birth, they certainly thereafter remain so. Their specific doctrinal teaching alone should be enough to convince any man, having common sense and ordinary intelligence, that the Roman hierarchy is simply a worldwide organization of apostates from the faith of the Gospel and the religion of the Bible. An organization calling itself a "church" whose traditional teaching and doctrinal principles are not only not taught in the inspired Word of God, but are in direct collision with it throughout, the judgment of any man not under the blinding curse of 2 Thess. 2: 11, must be that it is from beneath, and that it is no more the Church of God or a true religion than is Mormonism or Mohammedanism.

Compare the two systems, Romanism and Protestantism, with the Bible, and to all, I will again say, but those who are under the blinding curse of God, it settles the matter at once. It pronounces Romanism a superstition, and Protestantism a religion—the religion, because the religion of the Bible. True, Rome has the name of having a Bible in her possession; but it is, in her case,