

have first to compliment my honourable friend from Toronto-Trinity (Hon. Mr. Roebuck) for the clear and persuasive way in which he has explained the amendment. He has demonstrated his great admiration for the children of Canada, and for that I compliment him; he seems to be of much the same mind as my honourable friend from Kennebec (Hon. Mr. Vaillancourt).

I want to make it clear that there was nothing personal in the question I asked my honourable friend from Toronto-Trinity; and I will add that I expected another reply which, though it probably would not have been better, would have expressed exactly what I had in mind. What is a "large" family? The definition varies according to circumstances. I thought that was what he was going to say—that two children may be a very heavy load for some families and ten children may be a very light burden for

others. The matter is relative; and although, as the Act stands, allowances change according to age, I do not think the expression "large families" occurs anywhere in it.

However, I repeat that my main purpose in rising at this time is to assure my honourable friend that there was nothing personal whatever in the question I asked him.

The motion was agreed to, and the bill was read the second time.

THIRD READING

The Hon. the Speaker: When shall the bill be read the third time?

Hon. Mr. Robertson: With leave, now.

The motion was agreed to, and the bill was read the third time, and passed.

The Senate adjourned until tomorrow at 3 p.m.
