

the document be tabled. You asked whether there was consent. There was consent, and I understand the document has now been tabled. What I was asking was whether it might be appropriate to ask the House if it was agreeable to the document being made an appendix to *Hansard* as well as being tabled.

[Translation]

Mr. Yvon Pinard (Parliamentary Secretary to President of Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, we agreed to the first request because it was so nicely made by the hon. member for Ottawa-Carleton (Mrs. Pigott), but we do not see the necessity for the second since all we want is copies of the document which has been tabled.

* * *

[English]

UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE ACT, 1971

MEASURE TO AMEND

Mr. David Orlikow (Winnipeg North) moved for leave to introduce Bill C-443, to amend the Unemployment Insurance Act, 1971 (labour dispute).

Some hon. Members: Explain.

Mr. Orlikow: Mr. Speaker, the purpose of this bill is to clear up and correct a serious misinterpretation of an act which has arisen as a result of decisions by the Unemployment Insurance Commission in recent years. This has resulted in workers who have not concluded negotiations with their employers being locked out. I am thinking of the recent dispute between Canada Packers and Swifts and their employees who were on strike recently. There was also the recent strikes in the brewery industry. All these employees were refused unemployment insurance benefits when they made their claims.

● (1602)

Motion agreed to, bill read the first time and ordered to be printed.

* * *

QUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk.)

Mr. Yvon Pinard (Parliamentary Secretary to President of Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, the following questions will be answered today: Nos. 209, 268 and 225.

[Text]

CUSTOMS—SASKATOON AIRPORT

Question No. 209—**Mr. Hnatyshyn:**

Is the government giving consideration to improving customs services at the Saskatoon airport and, if so, to what extent and on what date?

Point of Order—Mr. Beatty

Mr. Yves Demers (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of National Revenue): There is presently no permanent staff assigned to Saskatoon airport. All customs service is provided on a call-out basis from the Saskatoon office. Regional officials indicate that, to date, there would not be sufficient work to justify assignment of permanent staff to the airport. Given the current low volume of traffic and the necessity for financial restraint, it would be neither desirable nor feasible to further expand customs service at Saskatoon beyond the current level, that is from 0700 to 2400 hours, seven days per week.

DREE—LAWYERS IN PROVENCHER

Question No. 268—**Mr. Epp:**

From June 1, 1974 to date, what lawyers in the Constituency of Provencher were given work by the Department of Regional Economic Expansion and what amount was each paid per year?

Mr. Claude-André Lachance (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Justice): In so far as the legal agents of the Minister of Justice are concerned: None.

EYRETECHNICS LIMITED

Question No. 325—**Mr. McKinnon:**

1. Was Eyretechnics Limited, 92 Grenville Crescent, Ottawa, Ontario, allotted a contract for \$175,000 in connection with preliminary work for destroyer life extension?

2. Was the tendering process conducted before this contract was let?

3. Is it the current plan of the Department of National Defence to go ahead with the destroyer life extension plan?

Hon. Barney Danson (Minister of National Defence): 1. Yes.

2. Yes.

3. The Department of National Defence has approved in principle a program to extend the life of the current steam destroyers until the new ships come into service. The precise scope and extent of the project is yet to be determined.

[Translation]

Mr. Speaker: The questions enumerated by the parliamentary secretary have been answered. Shall the other questions be allowed to stand?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

* * *

[English]

POINT OF ORDER

MR. BEATTY—ANSWER TO QUESTION NO. 67

Mr. Perrin Beatty (Wellington-Grey-Dufferin-Waterloo): Mr. Speaker, at the outset I would apologize to you and the House for having to raise a further point of order, because discussions have gone on and taken up the time of the House